



Comparison of the Outcomes of Open versus Minimally Invasive Spinal Surgery for the Treatment of Thoracolumbar Traumatic Spinal Injury

Waqas Mughis, Usamah bin Waheed, Moiz Aziz, Zaheen Shibli, Ruba, Muhammad Adil Khatri

Department of Neurosurgery, Dow University of Health Sciences/ Civil Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

Minimally Invasive Spinal Surgery, Outcomes, Oswestry Disability Thoracolumbar Traumatic Spinal Injury

Corresponding Author: Waqas Mughis, Department of Neurosurgery, Dow University of Health Sciences/ Civil Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan
Email: drwaqasmughis91@gmail.com

Declaration

Authors' Contribution: All authors equally contributed to the study and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest.

Funding: No funding received by the authors.

Article History

Received: 18-01-2025, Revised: 28-03-2025
Accepted: 10-04-2025, Published: 16-04-2025

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the outcomes of open versus minimally invasive spinal surgery for the treatment of thoracolumbar traumatic spinal injury. **Study Design and Setting:** Descriptive observational study was conducted at the department of Neurosurgery, Civil Hospital Karachi for the duration six months from January 1, 2020 to June 30, 2020. **Subjects and Method:** A total of sixty consenting patients of either gender of patients between ages 18-75 years diagnosed as thoracolumbar traumatic spinal injury (TLSI) underwent for surgical decompression, fusion or stabilization with pedicular screw fixation with open or minimally invasive spinal surgery (MISS) were included. These patients were divided into two groups via lottery method. Laminectomy in either group was done if needed. Surgery was performed by experienced neurosurgeons in presence of researcher. The findings like intra operative blood loss, operative time, post-operative hospital stay in days and Oswestry Disability Score on 1 month follow-up) was noted. Data was analyzed by using SPSS 26.0. **Results:** Age range in this study was from 18 to 75 years. Mean age in open group was 56.6 ± 14.6 years and in MISS group was 52.2 ± 13.8 years. Significant difference was observed in intra-operative blood and operative time and no significant difference was observed in duration of hospital stay and Oswestry Disability score. **Conclusion:** Our study documents favorable patient and radiographic outcomes with the use of MISS for thoracolumbar trauma.

INTRODUCTION

About 10.5 cases per 100,000 people is the global incidence of traumatic spinal injury (TSI) and mostly found in middle and low income countries than high income countries, out of which 48.8% of these injuries need surgical intervention¹. Thoracolumbar injury makes up the largest incidence among all spinal injuries². About 2-4% patients with blunt trauma have thoracolumbar spinal injury (TLSI) but these injuries are mostly associated with high risk of mortality and morbidity³. The most common causes observed for traumatic TLSI injury were Road Traffic Accident (RTA) i.e. 46% followed by incidents of fall 30%, assault in 6% cases with other causes making 11%³. TLSI can be divided into more rigid thoracic spine (T1-T10), transitional and flexible area with maximum number of injuries (T11-L2) and lumbar spine (L3-L5)². The Denis Classification uses three column systems (anterior, middle and posterior) that subdivide TLSI fractures into minor and major. Major spinal injury is further classified into compression fractures, burst fractures, flexion-distraction/seat-belt-type injury and

dislocation fracture⁴.

In compression fracture and flexion-distraction injury the canal is not usually compromised leading to conservative management. If needed both fractures can be surgically corrected using spinal fusion preferably by posterior approach⁵. Burst and dislocation fractures are dealt with surgical decompression using anterior, posterior or anterior-posterior approach followed by fusion. Stabilization of spine is achieved by pedicle screw fixation in flexion-distraction injury, burst and fracture-dislocation^{6,7}.

Operative interventions are used for spinal fracture correction. The need for spinal surgery for aged patients is increasing with time; a new method had to be drawn forward to overcome the morbidity commonly observed with traditional open approach for spinal fracture repairs. Parameters like substantial blood loss, surgical site infection and longer hospital stay exposed patients to surgical complications^{8,9,10}.

Minimally invasive spinal surgery (MISS) v/s open approach has shown results of intra-operative blood loss (136 ± 18 vs 364 ± 23 ml), post-operative length of



hospital stay (5 ± 2.25 vs 9.3 ± 3.4 days) and post operating time (100 ± 25 vs 175 ± 2 mins) Assessment of neurological outcome on follow-ups were better with MISS rather than open approach measured via mean Oswestry Disability (ODI) Score (15.9 ± 1.23 vs 32 ± 2.4).

Instrumented spinal fusion and stabilization via pedicular screw fixation can be accomplished through open or MISS. To assess which operative procedure is better not many studies have been done in our country. The focus of this study was to compare peri-operative blood loss, operative time duration, post-operative length of hospital stay and neurological status on 1 month follow-up using Oswestry disability index (ODI) between patients undergoing open technique versus MISS. If this study would show MISS would found have better intra-operative and post-operative outcomes then this could help in better recovery of the patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted over a period of 6 month from January 1, 2020 to June 30, 2020 in the department of Neurosurgery, Civil Hospital Karachi. A total of sixty patients of either gender of patients between ages 18-75 years diagnosed as TLSI underwent for surgical decompression, fusion or stabilization with pedicular screw fixation with open or MISS were included via non-probability consecutive sampling technique.

Non-consenting patients, patients with revision surgery, patients with co-existing morbidities or other spinal conditions like disc herniation, spondylolisthesis or degenerative scoliosis, patients undergoing spinal surgery for non-traumatic indications and gunshot/penetrating trauma history were excluded.

These patients were divided into two groups via lottery method. Group A included patients who are operated via open method. Group B included patients operated via MISS. Laminectomy in either group was done if needed. Surgery was performed by experienced neurosurgeons in presence of researcher.

The findings like intra operative blood loss, operative time, post-operative hospital stay in days and ODI Score on 1 month follow-up) was noted. Data was analyzed by using SPSS 26.0. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for quantitative variables like age, height, weight, BMI, intra operative blood loss, operative time, post-operative hospital stay and ODI Score. Frequency and percentages were calculated for qualitative variables like gender, mechanism of injury and classification of fracture type as per Denis Classification. T-test was applied to compare the outcomes between two groups.

RESULTS

Age range in this study was from 18 to 75 years. In Group-A, mean age was 56.6 ± 14.6 years and mean BMI was $27.1 \pm 4.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$, whereas, in group-B, mean age

was 52.2 ± 13.8 years, and mean BMI was 25.7 ± 4.5 . In Group-A, 19(63.3%) were male and 11 (36.6%) and in Group-B, 17(56.6%) were male and 13(43.33%) were female. Regarding the side of fracture, in Group-A, 09(30%) had anterior side, 10 (33.33%) had middle side and 11 (36.6%) had posterior side and on Group-B, 09(30%) had anterior side, 13 (43.33%) had middle side and 08 (26.6%) had posterior side fracture. The most common causes observed for traumatic TLS injury in Group-A were RTA being 15(50%), incidents of fall 09 (30%), assault in 2(6%) cases with other causes making 4(13.3%) and in Group-B, most common cause were RTA being 14(46.6%), incidents of fall 10 (33.3%), assault in 1(3.3%) cases with other causes making 5(16.6%), shown in table 01.

When outcome variables were compared, significant difference was observed in intra-operative blood and operative time and no significant difference was observed in duration of hospital stay and ODI score, shown in Table 2.

Table 1

Demographic Data and Clinical Spectrum of the Patients

Demographic Data and Clinical Spectrum		Group-A	Group-B
Age (mean \pm sd)		56.6 ± 14.6	52.2 ± 13.8
BMI (mean \pm sd)		27.1 ± 4.5	25.7 ± 4.5
Gender	Male	19(63.3%)	17(56.6%)
	Female	11 (36.6%)	13(43.33%)
Classification of Fracture	Anterior	09(30%)	09(30%)
	Middle	10 (33.33%)	13 (43.33%)
	Posterior	11 (36.6%)	08 (26.6%)
Mechanism of Fracture	RTA	15(50%)	14(46.6%)
	Falls	09 (30%)	10 (33%)
	Assaults	2 (6%)	01 (3.3%)
	Other Causes	4 (13.3%)	05 (16.6%)

Table 2

Comparison of Outcomes of Open Versus Minimally Invasive Spinal Surgery for the Treatment of Thoracolumbar Traumatic Spinal Injury

Outcomes	Group-A (n=12)	Group-B (n=19)	P-value
Intra-operative blood loss	$349 \pm 43 \text{ ml}$	141 ± 15	0.000
Operative time	6.8 ± 3.4	3.2 ± 2.9	0.000
Post-operative hospital stay	181.8 ± 45.4	166.4 ± 52.1	0.2519
ODI Score	20.6 ± 17.2	24.6 ± 18.2	0.385

DISCUSSION

The operative treatment of thoracolumbar fractures requires a choice by the treating physician as to the optimal approach and means of fixation. Alvine et al and Esses et al both demonstrated good clinical and radiologic outcomes following the open instrumentation of thoracolumbar fractures^{11,12}. Advocates of the percutaneous technique cite decreased operative time, decreased blood loss, and decreased disruption of the already traumatized soft tissues. Conversely, opponents

of the MIS technique cite the long surgeon learning curve and the possibility of inadequate restoration of VBH and local kyphosis.

In this study, we investigated the effects of MISS for thoracolumbar trauma on patient outcomes. Our patients had an average age of 54.4 ± 14.2 years. Most of our patients were men, and motor vehicle collisions and accidental falls made up 80% of cases. Our patient population was therefore similar to that of Wang et al.'s¹³ epidemiological study on traumatic spinal fractures.

A systematic review of minimally invasive versus open surgery for the treatment of types B and C thoracolumbar injuries was carried out and found that the MISS can significantly reduce the blood loss, length of hospital stay, and complications; however, the fusion rate and operative time was similar¹⁴. Another meta-analysis found that the MISS not only reduced the blood loss more than open surgery but also had significantly lower VAS of back pain and ODI scores¹⁵.

In our study, less intra-operative blood loss and shorter operative time has been found in cohort treated with MISS group as compared to the cohort treated with open surgery, however, no significant difference was observed in duration of hospital stay and ODI score.

In 2016, Zhang et al.¹⁶ published the results of a prospective randomized clinical trial comparing 29 patients randomly assigned to MISS (type A: 7, type B2: 5, and type C: 17) and 30 who underwent open surgery (type A: 8, type B2: 5, and type C: 17). Follow-up was 12 months. Operative time was longer in the MISS group (218 vs 190 minutes), but this difference was nonsignificant ($P = .165$). Blood loss (302 vs 536 mL, $P = .011$) and length of hospital stay (18.6 ± 10.3 vs 27.5 ± 15 days, $P = .011$) were significantly less in the MISS group.

Grossbach et al.¹⁷ prospectively compared patients with flexion-distraction injuries between May 2003 and March 2013. A total of 38 patients with type B fractures

(11 MISS and 27 open surgery) were followed for an average of 13 months (9–18 months). Patients who had undergone MISS had a shorter operative time (195 vs 257 minutes, $P = .07$) and less blood loss (93 vs 498 mL, $P = .003$). The other measured parameters (kyphotic angulation correction, length of hospital stay, and neurologic recovery) were no different in the 2 groups.

The studies conducted in the past have analyzed the retrospective series of the patients with thoracolumbar traumatic spinal injury. Therefore, there may be a significant chance of biasness. We therefore conducted a prospective study to compare the outcomes of open versus minimally invasive spinal surgery for the treatment of thoracolumbar traumatic spinal injury.

Limitations of the study include use of non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used and this may not allow generalization of results to the population. Furthermore, though, the sample size used for study was evident base but still, it was small to establish the minimally invasive spinal surgery choice of technique for the treatment of thoracolumbar traumatic spinal injury, more studies with larger sample size should be conducted.

Furthermore, shorter follow up period and single centered study may question the generalizability of the results.

CONCLUSION

Our study documents favorable patient and radiographic outcomes with the use of MISS for thoracolumbar trauma. Resent study revealed significant difference in intra-operative blood and operative time, however, no significant difference was observed in duration of hospital stay and ODI score. Thus, from this study, it could not be concluded that the MISS have better intra-operative and post-operative outcomes. More studies with larger sample size should be done to recommend the practice of MISS in future.

REFERENCES

1. Verheyden AP, Spiegl UJ, Ekkerlein H, et al. Treatment of fractures of the thoracolumbar spine: recommendations of the Spine Section of the German Society for Orthopaedics and Trauma (DGOU) *Global Spine J.* 2018;8(suppl 2):34S–45S. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2192568218771668>
2. O'Toole JE, Kaiser MG, Anderson PA, et al. Congress of Neurological Surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guidelines on the evaluation and treatment of patients with thoracolumbar spine trauma: executive summary. *Neurosurgery.* 2019;84(1):2–6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/nyy394>
3. Cavanaugh D, Usmani MF, Weir TB, et al. Radiographic evaluation of minimally invasive instrumentation and fusion for treating unstable spinal column injuries. *Global Spine J.* 2020;10(2):169–176. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2192568219856872>
4. Pannu CD, Farooque K, Sharma V, Singal D. Minimally invasive spine surgeries for treatment of thoracolumbar fractures of spine: a systematic review. *J Clin Orthop Trauma.* 2019;10(suppl 1):S147–S155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcot.2019.04.012>
5. Tian F, Tu LY, Gu WF, et al. Percutaneous versus open pedicle screw instrumentation in treatment of thoracic and lumbar spine fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*

- 2018;97(41):e12535.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000012535>
6. McAnany SJ, Overley SC, Kim JS, Baird EO, Qureshi SA, Anderson PA. Open versus minimally invasive fixation techniques for thoracolumbar trauma: a meta-analysis. *Global Spine J.* 2016;6(2):186–94. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1554777>
 7. Afolabi A, Weir TB, Usmani MF, et al. Comparison of percutaneous minimally invasive versus open posterior spine surgery for fixation of thoracolumbar fractures: a retrospective matched cohort analysis. *J Orthop.* 2019;18:185–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2019.11.047>
 8. Kreinest M, Rillig J, Küffer M, Grützner PA, Tinelli M, Matschke S. Comparison of pedicle screw misplacement following open vs. percutaneous dorsal instrumentation after traumatic spinal fracture. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg.* 2021;47(3):727–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-019-01245-8>
 9. Lee YC, Selby M, Zotti M, Roy D, Freeman B. Minimally invasive stabilization for thoracolumbar and lumbar fractures: a comparative study with short segment open Schanz screw constructs. *J Spine Surg.* 2019;5(1):13–18. <https://doi.org/10.21037/jss.2019.01.06>
 10. Joaquim AF, Schroeder GD, Patel AA, Vaccaro AR. Clinical and radiological outcome of non-surgical management of thoracic and lumbar spinal fracture-dislocations—a historical analysis in the era of modern spinal surgery. *J Spinal Cord Med.* 2020;43(1):3–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10790268.2018.1474692>
 11. Alvine G F, Swain J M, Asher M A, Burton D C. Treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures with variable screw placement or Isola instrumentation and arthrodesis: case series and literature review. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* 2004;17(4):251–264. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.bsd.0000095827.98982.88>
 12. Esses S I, Botsford D J, Kostuik J P. Evaluation of surgical treatment for burst fractures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1990;15(7):667–673. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-199007000-00010>
 13. Wang H Zhou Y Li C Liu J Xiang L Comparison of open versus percutaneous pedicle screw fixation using the sextant system in the treatment of traumatic thoracolumbar fractures *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2014; July 11 (Epub ahead of print). <https://doi.org/10.1097/bsd.0000000000000135>
 14. Carazzo CA, Yurac R, Guiroy A, Zamorano JJ, Cabrera JP, Joaquim AF; AO Spine Latin America Trauma Study Group. Minimally Invasive Versus Open Surgery for the Treatment of Types B and C Thoracolumbar Injuries: A PRISMA Systematic Review. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2021 Aug;15(4):803–10. <https://doi.org/10.14444/8103>
 15. McAnany SJ, Overley SC, Kim JS, Baird EO, Qureshi SA, Anderson PA. Open versus minimally invasive fixation techniques for thoracolumbar trauma: a meta-analysis. *Global Spine J.* 2016;6(2):186–194. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1554777>
 16. Zhang B, Zhou F, Wang L, Wang H, Jiang J, Guo Q, Lu X. A new decompression technique for upper lumbar fracture with neurologic deficit-comparison with traditional open posterior surgery. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2019 Dec 1;20(1):580. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2897-1>
 17. Grossbach AJ, Dahdaleh NS, Abel TJ, Woods GD, Dlouhy BJ, Hitchon PW. Flexion-distraction injuries of the thoracolumbar spine: open fusion versus percutaneous pedicle screw fixation. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2013;35(2):E2 <https://doi.org/10.3171/2013.6.focus13176>