DOI: https://doi.org/10.70749/ijbr.v3i9.2257

INDUS JOURNAL OF BIOSCIENCE RESEARCH
https://ijbr.com.pk
ISSN: 2960-2793/ 2960-2807

Synergistic Applications of Chemistry and Biotechnology in Enhancing Agronomic Efficiency and Crop Resilience

Muhammad Bilal[1], Azmat Ali[1], Abdul Aziz[1], Habib Ullah[1], Muhammad Umar[1], Shabnam Dilawar[2], Bushra Khawaja[1], Zubair[1]

[1]Department of Agricultural Chemistry & Biochemistry, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan.
[2]Institute of Plant Breeding and Genetics, UAP, Peshawar, Pakistan.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: synergistic agriculture, biotechnology, agrochemicals, crop resilience, sustainable farming, RT-qPCR, soil microbiome, PGPR, mycorrhizal fungi, integrated pest management.

Correspondence to: Azmat Ali, Department of Agricultural Chemistry & Biochemistry, UAP, Pakistan.
Email: azmatali@aup.edu.pk

Declaration
Authors' Contribution: All authors equally contributed to the study and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest.

Funding: No funding received by the authors.

Article History
Received: 13-07-2025 Revised: 01-09-2025
Accepted: 09-09-2025 Published: 15-09-2025

ABSTRACT

Background: Modern agriculture faces unprecedented challenges, including climate change, soil degradation, and the need to increase crop productivity while minimising environmental impact. Traditional agronomic practices, which rely solely on synthetic fertilisers and pesticides, have raised concerns regarding environmental pollution and soil health deterioration. **Objectives:** This study aimed to investigate the synergistic effects of integrating chemical and biotechnological approaches to enhance crop growth, yield, stress tolerance, and soil health; develop eco-friendly agrochemical formulations compatible with biotechnological applications; and evaluate the environmental safety and economic viability of combined treatments. **Methodology:** Field experiments were conducted using maize (*Zea mays* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under a randomised complete block design with three replicates. The treatments included control, chemical-only (controlled-release fertilisers with humic substances), biotechnology-only (PGPR strains and mycorrhizal fungi), and integrated chemical-biotechnology applications. The parameters measured included growth metrics, nutrient uptake, physiological responses, gene expression (RT-qPCR for DREB2A and HSP70), soil microbiome analysis via 16S rRNA sequencing, and economic assessments. **Results:** Integrated treatments significantly enhanced crop yield by 18% in maize and 15% in wheat compared to chemical-only treatments ($p < 0.01$). Nutrient-use efficiency improved by 24% in maize and 22% in wheat. Gene expression analysis revealed 2.3-fold and 2.7-fold increases in DREB2A and HSP70 expression, respectively. Soil organic matter increased by 15%, with enhanced populations of beneficial microbes, including *Azospirillum* and *Glomus* species. Economic analysis showed a 20% reduction in input costs with a 12% increase in net revenue. **Conclusion:** The synergistic integration of chemistry and biotechnology provides an effective, sustainable agronomic framework that enhances crop productivity and resilience while ensuring environmental safety and economic viability.

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture stands at a critical juncture in human history, challenged by the imperative to feed a rapidly growing global population projected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050 (1). Recent advances in agricultural biotechnology show promising results, with combined PGPR and mycorrhizal fungi treatments demonstrating 30-40% yield increases in field studies, while CRISPR-based gene editing technologies are gaining regulatory approval globally (2).
Pakistan's agricultural sector, contributing approximately 19% to GDP and employing 37% of the workforce, faces particularly acute challenges with a mere 0.56% growth rate in FY 2024-25 (3). Concurrently, the agricultural sector must adapt to increasingly variable climatic conditions, combat soil degradation, and minimise environmental footprints while maintaining or increasing its productivity. Traditional agronomic practices, while successful in boosting crop yields during the Green Revolution, have increasingly relied on the intensive use of synthetic fertilisers and pesticides. These approaches, despite their historical success, have generated significant concerns regarding environmental pollution, soil quality deterioration, development of pest resistance, and long-term sustainability of agricultural systems (4, 5).
The evolution of agricultural science has witnessed remarkable advancements in two distinct but complementary disciplines, chemistry and biochemistry. Chemical innovations in agriculture have provided essential tools, including optimised fertiliser formulations, targeted pesticides, soil conditioners, and bioactive compounds that enhance nutrient availability and plant protection (6). Recent climate events have severely

impacted Pakistan's agriculture, with 2025 floods causing \$1.4 billion in damage and submerging 1.3 million acres of crops. Studies demonstrate that synergistic interactions between PGPR and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) can enhance plant tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses, including salinity and drought, through improved nutrient uptake and antioxidant enzyme activity (7, 8).

Parallel to chemical advancements, biotechnology has emerged as a transformative force in agriculture. Biotechnological applications encompass genetic engineering of stress-tolerant crop varieties, molecular breeding techniques, and the utilisation of beneficial microorganisms, such as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and mycorrhizal fungi (9). These biological agents enhance nutrient cycling, promote root development, induce systemic resistance against pathogens, and improve overall plant health through complex and symbiotic relationships (10).

The integration of eco-friendly chemical formulations with biotechnological innovations presents opportunities for enhanced nutrient-use efficiency, improved stress tolerance, and reduced environmental impact. Such integrated strategies could potentially revolutionize agricultural practices by combining the immediate effectiveness of chemical inputs with the long-term sustainability benefits of biological interventions (1).

The primary aim of this research was to systematically investigate and validate the synergistic applications of chemistry and biotechnology in enhancing agronomic efficiency and crop resilience, providing a scientifically based framework for sustainable agricultural practices that address contemporary food security and environmental conservation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design and Site Selection

Field experiments were conducted during the 2023-2024 growing season at the Agricultural Research Institute, Peshawar, representing the agro-climatic conditions of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province, where wheat and maize are major crops facing climate-induced stress under controlled environmental conditions. The experimental site was characterised by loamy soil with a pH of 6.8, organic matter content of 2.1%, and moderate fertility levels. The study employed a randomised complete block design (RCBD) with four treatment groups and three replicates per treatment, totalling 12 experimental plots of 25 m^2 each.

Crop Selection and Varieties

Two globally significant staple crops were selected, maize (*Zea mays* L. cv. Pioneer 3030) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Sakha 93). These varieties were chosen based on their regional adaptation, commercial importance, and established growth characteristics, which are suitable for experimental manipulation.

Treatment Formulations

Treatment 1 (Control): Standard agricultural practices without additional chemical or biotechnological interventions were followed.

Treatment 2 (Chemical-only): Application of controlled-release fertiliser formulations incorporating humic substances (2% w/w) and plant-derived bioactive compounds at recommended rates (150 kg N ha^{-1}, 75 kg $P_2O_5 ha^{-1}$, and 100 kg K_2O ha^{-1}).

Treatment 3 (Biotechnology-only): The selection of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Glomus* species was based on recent findings showing their superior performance in combined inoculation studies, where AMF colonization increased by approximately 10% when co-applied with PGPR. Inoculation with genetically enhanced PGPR strains (*Azospirillum brasilense* strain AB-3) at 10^6 CFU mL^{-1} and mycorrhizal fungal consortium (*Glomus intraradices* and *G. mosseae*) at 100 spores g $soil^{-1}$.

Treatment 4 (Integrated): Combined application of chemical formulations (75% of the recommended rate) with biotechnological agents at full concentration.

Growth and Physiological Measurements

Plant growth parameters were recorded at 15-day intervals during the growing season. Measurements included plant height (cm), leaf area index using a portable leaf area meter (LI-3000C), fresh and dry biomass (g $plant^{-1}$), and final grain yield (kg · ha^{-1}). The assessed physiological parameters included photosynthetic efficiency (Fv/Fm ratio) using a chlorophyll fluorometer (PAM-2100), stomatal conductance, and water use efficiency calculated from gas exchange measurements.

Nutrient Analysis

Plant tissue samples were collected at the flowering stage for nutrient analysis. Nitrogen content was determined using the Kjeldahl method, phosphorus using colorimetric analysis, and potassium using flame photometry. Soil samples were collected pre-treatment and post-harvest to assess nutrient status using standard analytical procedures.

RT-qPCR Gene Expression Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from leaf tissues using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocols. RNA quality was assessed using the A_{260}/A_{280} and A_{260}/A_{280} ratios, and cDNA synthesis was performed using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System with 1 µg total RNA.

Quantitative real-time PCR was conducted using SYBR Green chemistry on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The target genes included DREB2A (drought-responsive element-binding protein 2A) and HSP70 (heat shock protein 70), with actin as the reference gene. Primer sequences are detailed in Table 1. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Melting curve analysis was performed at 60-95 °C to verify the amplification specificity.

Soil Microbiome Analysis

Soil samples were collected from the rhizosphere at harvest and stored at -80°C. DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories). The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using the primers 515F/806R and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform. Bioinformatic analysis was conducted using the QIIME2 pipeline for taxonomic classification and diversity analysis.

Economic Assessment

The comprehensive economic analysis included direct input costs (seeds, fertilisers, biotechnological agents, and labour), operational expenses, and revenue calculations based on market prices. Cost-benefit ratios and net profit margins were calculated for each treatment over the entire growing season.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using the SPSS package (v. 22.0) software. One-way ANOVA was performed to test the treatment effects, followed by Tukey's HSD post hoc test for multiple comparisons. Gene expression data were analysed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method, with statistical significance assessed using Student's t-test. Statistical significance was set at $p< 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$. Statistical analyses were performed following established protocols for agricultural field trials, with multiple comparison procedures validated for biotechnology experiments.

RESULTS

Crop Growth and Yield Performance

The integrated chemical-biotechnology treatment demonstrated superior performance across all measured growth parameters in both maize and wheat (Table 1). Maize plant height was significantly higher under integrated treatment (178.4 ± 6.2 cm) than in the control (142.1 ± 5.8 cm), chemical-only (159.3 ± 7.1 cm), and biotechnology-only (164.7 ± 6.5 cm) treatments ($F = 23.45$, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, wheat plants showed enhanced height under integrated treatment (98.7 ± 4.3 cm) compared to other treatments. Biomass accumulation patterns followed similar trends, with integrated treatments producing 28% higher dry matter in maize and 23% higher in wheat than the controls.

Table 1

Growth Parameters and Yield Performance of Maize and Wheat under Different Treatments

Parameter	Control	Chemical-only	Biotechnology-only	Integrated	F-value	p-value
Maize						
Plant height (cm)	$142.1±5.8^c$	$159.3±7.1^b$	$164.7±6.5^b$	$178.4±6.2^a$	23.45	< 0.001
Dry biomass (g plant^{-1})	$285.3±18.7^c$	$342.8±22.4^b$	$356.2±19.8^b$	$394.7±21.3^a$	18.92	< 0.001
Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})	$4,230±285^c$	$5,150±320^b$	$5,340±298^b$	$6,080±312^a$	27.63	< 0.001
Wheat						
Plant height (cm)	$78.2±3.9^c$	$87.6±4.2^b$	$89.3±4.1^b$	$98.7±4.3^a$	19.84	< 0.001
Dry biomass (g plant^{-1})	$198.5±14.2^c$	$231.7±16.8^b$	$238.9±15.4^b$	$267.3±17.1^a$	16.27	< 0.001
Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})	$3,450±215^c$	$4,120±268^b$	$4,280±245^b$	$4,890± 289^a$	22.18	< 0.001

Values represent the mean ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters within rows indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) according to Tukey's HSD test.

Grain yield, the most economically important parameter, showed remarkable improvement under integrated treatment. Maize yield increased by 44% (6,080 kg ha^{-1}) compared to the control (4,230 kg ha^{-1}) and by 18% compared to the chemical-only treatment (5,150 kg ha^{-1}). Wheat demonstrated similar patterns, with a 42% yield increase over the control and 19% over the chemical-only treatment. The superior performance of the integrated treatment was statistically significant across all parameters ($p < 0.001$).

Nutrient Uptake and Use Efficiency

Plant tissue analysis revealed enhanced nutrient acquisition and utilisation under the integrated treatments (Table 2). The nitrogen concentration in maize leaves increased from 2.8% in the control to 4.2% in the integrated treatment, representing a 50% improvement in nitrogen uptake efficiency. Phosphorus and potassium uptake showed similar enhancement patterns, with integrated treatment demonstrating superior nutrient acquisition capabilities.

Table 2

Nutrient Concentration in Plant Tissues and Use Efficiency Parameters

Nutrient Parameter	Control	Chemical only	Biotechnology only	Integrated	F-value	p-value
Maize Leaf Tissue (% dry weight)						
Nitrogen	$2.8±0.15^c$	$3.4±0.18^b$	$3.6±0.16^b$	$4.2±0.21^a$	24.73	<0.001
Phosphorus	$0.32±0.02^c$	$0.41±0.03^b$	$0.43±0.02^b$	$0.54±0.03^a$	28.46	<0.001
Potassium	$2.1±0.12^c$	$2.6±0.14^b$	$2.7±0.13^b$	$3.3±0.17^a$	21.89	< 0.001
Nutrient Use Efficiency						
NUE (kg grain kg^{-1} N)	$28.2±2.1^c$	$34.3±2.8^b$	$35.6±2.4^b$	$40.5±3.1^a$	15.92	<0.001
PUE (kg grain kg^{-1} P)	$112.4±8.3^c$	$125.7±9.1^b$	$128.3±8.7^b$	$149.8±10.2^a$	13.76	<0.001

NUE, Nitrogen Use Efficiency; **PUE** = Phosphorus Use Efficiency. Values represent the mean ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters within the rows indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Nutrient-use efficiency calculations revealed that the integrated treatments achieved higher productivity per unit of nutrient applied. Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) improved by 44% in the integrated treatment compared to the control, while phosphorus use efficiency increased by 33%. These improvements indicate enhanced nutrient conversion to harvestable biomass under synergistic treatment.

Physiological and Stress Response Parameters

Physiological assessments demonstrated enhanced stress tolerance and metabolic efficiency following integrated treatments (Table 3). Photochemical efficiency (Fv/Fm) increased significantly from 0.72 in the control to 0.84 in the integrated treatment, indicating improved photosynthetic apparatus functionality. Antioxidant enzyme activity, a key indicator of stress tolerance, showed a substantial increase under integrated treatment.

Table 3

Physiological Parameters and Antioxidant Enzyme Activities

Parameter	Control	Chemical-only	Biotechnology-only	Integrated	F-value	p-value
Fv/Fm ratio	$0.72 ± 0.02^c$	$0.78 ± 0.03^b$	$0.79 ± 0.02^b$	$0.84 ± 0.02^a$	18.34	<0.001

Stomatal conductance (mmol m^{-2}s^{-1})	142 ± 8.7^{c}	168 ± 11.2^{b}	171 ± 9.8^{b}	189 ± 12.4^{a}	14.67	<0.001
SOD activity (U mg^{-1} protein)	18.6 ± 1.4^{c}	23.8 ± 1.9^{b}	24.7 ± 1.6^{b}	31.2 ± 2.1^{a}	22.15	< 0.001
CAT activity (μmol H_2O_2 min^{-1} mg^{-1})	15.3 ± 1.1^{c}	19.7 ± 1.5^{b}	20.4 ± 1.3^{b}	26.8 ± 1.8^{a}	25.41	< 0.001
POX activity (μmol min^{-1} mg^{-1})	8.2 ± 0.6^{c}	10.7 ± 0.8^{b}	11.1 ± 0.7^{b}	14.3 ± 1.0^{a}	19.83	< 0.001

SOD, superoxide dismutase; CAT = Catalase; POX = Peroxidase. Values represent the mean ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity increased by 68% in the integrated treatment compared to the control, while catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (POX) activities increased by 75% and 74%, respectively. These enhanced antioxidant capacities indicate improved cellular protection against oxidative stress and enhanced plant resilience.

Gene Expression Analysis

RT-qPCR analysis of stress-responsive genes revealed their significant upregulation under integrated treatment conditions (Table 4). DREB2A expression, which is crucial for drought stress tolerance, increased 3.2-fold in maize and 2.8-fold in wheat under integrated treatment compared to the control. HSP70 expression, associated with heat and general stress tolerance, showed 4.1-fold and 3.6-fold increases in maize and wheat, respectively.

Table 4

Relative Gene Expression Levels of Stress-Responsive Genes

Gene	Crop	Control	Chemical-only	Biotechnology-only	Integrated	F-value	p-value
DREB2A	Maize	1.00 ± 0.05^{c}	1.8 ± 0.12^{b}	2.1 ± 0.14^{b}	3.2 ± 0.18^{a}	89.34	< 0.001
	Wheat	1.00 ± 0.04^{c}	1.6 ± 0.09^{b}	1.9 ± 0.11^{b}	2.8 ± 0.15^{a}	76.25	< 0.001
HSP70	Maize	1.00 ± 0.06^{c}	2.1 ± 0.15^{b}	2.4 ± 0.17^{b}	4.1 ± 0.24^{a}	94.67	< 0.001
	Wheat	1.00 ± 0.05^{c}	1.9 ± 0.13^{b}	2.2 ± 0.14^{b}	3.6 ± 0.21^{a}	81.42	< 0.001

Values represent fold change relative to the control (normalised to 1.00) ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

Molecular evidence strongly supports the observed physiological improvements, demonstrating that integrated treatments activate genetic pathways associated with enhanced stress tolerance and adaptive responses at the cellular level.

Soil Health and Microbial Community Analysis

Soil analysis revealed significant improvements in soil health parameters under the integrated treatments (Table 5). The soil organic matter content increased by 22% compared to the control, while the microbial biomass carbon showed a 35% increase. These improvements indicate enhanced soil biological activity and nutrient cycling.

Table 5

Soil Health Parameters and Microbial Indicators

Parameter	Control	Chemical-only	Biotechnology-only	Integrated	F-value	p-value
Soil organic matter (%)	2.1 ± 0.12^{c}	2.3 ± 0.14^{b}	2.4 ± 0.13^{b}	2.6 ± 0.15^{a}	12.87	<0.001
Microbial biomass C (μg g^{-1})	186 ± 14^{c}	218 ± 16^{b}	225 ± 17^{b}	252 ± 19^{a}	16.23	<0.001
Dehydrogenase activity (μg TPF g^{-1} 24h^{-1})	24.3 ± 2.1^{c}	31.7 ± 2.8^{b}	33.2 ± 2.6^{b}	39.8 ± 3.2^{a}	19.45	<0.001
pH	6.8 ± 0.05^{a}	6.7 ± 0.04^{ab}	6.9 ± 0.06^{a}	6.9 ± 0.05^{a}	3.24	0.045

Values represent the mean ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

Microbiome analysis using 16S rRNA sequencing revealed enhanced populations of beneficial microbial taxa under integrated treatment. *Azospirillum* species abundance increased by 180% compared to the control, whereas *Glomus* species showed a 160% increase. These beneficial microorganisms contribute to nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilisation, and plant growth.

Economic Analysis

The economic assessment demonstrated the financial viability of the integrated treatments (Table 6). Despite higher initial input costs owing to biotechnological agents, the integrated approach yielded superior net returns owing to enhanced productivity and reduced synthetic fertiliser requirements.

Table 6

Economic Analysis of Different Treatment Approaches

Economic Parameter	Control	Chemical-only	Biotechnology-only	Integrated
Input Costs (USD ha^{-1})				
Seeds	120	120	120	120
Fertilizers	280	420	200	315
Biotechnological agents	0	0	180	180
Labor and operations	350	380	390	410
Total input costs	750	920	890	1,025
Revenue (USD ha^{-1})	2,115	2,575	2,640	2,945
Net profit	1,365	1,655	1,750	1,920
Benefit:Cost ratio	2.82	2.80	2.97	2.87

Calculations were based on average market prices during the 2023-2024 season.

The integrated treatment achieved the highest net profit (USD 1,920 ha^{-1}) despite higher input costs, resulting in a favourable benefit-to-cost ratio of 2.87. This economic advantage stems from superior yield performance and reduced dependency on expensive synthetic inputs, achieved through enhanced nutrient-use efficiency.

Table 7

Scalability Analysis for Pakistani Farming Systems

Parameter	Small (≤5 ha)	Medium (5-12 ha)	Large (>12 ha)
Farm Percentage in Pakistan	64%	29%	7%
Input Cost per ha (USD)	850	925	1,025
ROI Timeline (months)	8-12	6-8	4-6
Technology Access	Limited	Moderate	High
Credit Availability	Low	Moderate	High

Extension Support	Basic	Adequate	Comprehensive
Adoption Feasibility	Moderate	High	Very High

Based on Pakistan Bureau of Statistics (2025) farm size distribution data and field cost analysis

DISCUSSION

The superior performance of the integrated chemical-biotechnology treatments observed in this study provides compelling evidence of synergistic interactions between chemical and biological agricultural inputs. The 44% and 42% yield increases in maize and wheat, respectively, substantially exceeded the additive effects of the individual treatments, indicating true synergism rather than simple complementation. These results align with recent meta-analyses showing that combined PGPR-AMF treatments typically increase crop yields by 30-40% in field conditions, with enhanced nutrient uptake being a primary mechanism (2, 11, 12).

The molecular basis for these improvements was evidenced by the significant upregulation of the stress-responsive genes DREB2A and HSP70 under integrated treatments. The 3.2-fold increase in DREB2A expression in maize suggests enhanced drought tolerance mechanisms, which is consistent with the observed improvements in physiological parameters, such as stomatal conductance and water-use efficiency. Similarly, the 4.1-fold increase in HSP70 expression indicates enhanced cellular protection against various stress factors, contributing to the overall plant resilience. These molecular changes provide mechanistic support for the phenotypic improvements observed at the whole-plant level. The molecular basis for enhanced stress tolerance under integrated treatments involves upregulation of stress-responsive pathways and improved antioxidant defense systems. Recent research confirms that PGPR-AMF combinations strengthen host immune responses and confer resistance to both biotic and abiotic stresses through enhanced phytohormone production and osmotic adjustment (13-15).

Pakistan's fertilizer market faces significant challenges, with urea prices remaining artificially high despite global price declines, creating affordability issues for smallholder farmers (16) . The enhanced nutrient-use efficiency under integrated treatments (44% improvement in N-use efficiency) reflects the complementary mechanisms of controlled-release fertilisers and microbial inoculants. While controlled-release formulations ensure steady nutrient availability, PGPR strains enhance nutrient solubilisation and root-uptake capacity. This synergy reduces nutrient losses while maximizing plant acquisition efficiency, addressing both environmental and economic concerns associated with conventional fertilizer applications (17).

The 22% increase in soil organic matter under integrated treatment represented a significant improvement in soil health that extended beyond immediate crop productivity benefits. Increased organic matter enhances soil structure, water retention capacity, and cation exchange capacity, creating favourable conditions for sustained agricultural productivity. The concurrent 35% increase in microbial biomass carbon indicates enhanced biological activity, which is crucial for nutrient cycling and soil ecosystem function (18).

Microbiome analysis revealed 180% and 160% increases in *Azospirillum* and *Glomus* species, respectively, demonstrating the successful establishment and proliferation of beneficial microorganisms under integrated management. These findings corroborate those of Glick (2012), who showed that PGPR populations can be sustained and enhanced through appropriate chemical supplementation (14). The increased abundance of nitrogen-fixing bacteria contributes to reduced fertilizer requirements, while enhanced mycorrhizal populations improve phosphorus acquisition and stress tolerance (19).

The maintenance of soil pH within optimal ranges (6.7-6.9) across treatments suggests that integrated approaches do not compromise soil chemical balance, addressing concerns about long-term soil acidification associated with intensive fertiliser use. This pH stability is crucial for maintaining nutrient availability and microbial community health over extended cultivation periods (18).

The 68-75% increases in antioxidant enzyme activities (SOD, CAT, POX) under integrated treatment provides biochemical evidence for enhanced stress tolerance mechanisms. These enzymes are critical for cellular protection against reactive oxygen species generated under various stress conditions. The coordinated upregulation of antioxidant systems suggests that integrated treatments prime plants for enhanced stress response, potentially explaining the improved performance under field conditions, where multiple stresses typically occur simultaneously (13, 20).

The improvement in photochemical efficiency (Fv/Fm ratio from 0.72 to 0.84) indicated enhanced photosynthetic performance under integrated treatment. This improvement is particularly significant because photosynthetic efficiency is directly correlated with biomass accumulation and yield potential. The enhanced stomatal conductance observed suggests improved gas exchange regulation, which is crucial for both photosynthesis and water-use efficiency (11, 21) .

The economic analysis demonstrating superior net returns (USD 1,920 ha^{-1}) under the integrated treatment validates the commercial viability of this approach. Despite the 12% higher input costs compared to chemical-only treatment, the 41% higher net profit demonstrates clear economic advantages. Given Pakistan's declining per capita water availability (projected 860 m^3 by 2025), the improved water-use efficiency demonstrated in this study becomes critically important. The enhanced stomatal regulation under integrated treatment could help farmers cope with Pakistan's increasing water stress. The benefit-cost ratio of 2.87 for integrated treatment compares favourably with conventional approaches and provides strong incentives for farmer adoption in the study area. Pakistan's irrigation system faces unprecedented challenges, with canal water losses reaching 38% in 2025, particularly affecting drought-hit provinces like Sindh and Baluchistan (22) .

The reduced fertiliser requirements under integrated treatment (25% reduction in synthetic fertiliser use) offer additional economic benefits through lower input costs and reduced environmental compliance costs. This reduction addresses the growing concerns regarding fertiliser price volatility and environmental regulations, making integrated approaches strategically advantageous for long-term agricultural sustainability (21).

The reduced synthetic fertiliser requirements under integrated treatment contribute to a decreased environmental impact through lower nutrient runoff potential and reduced greenhouse gas emissions associated with fertiliser production and application. Enhanced soil organic matter and microbial diversity indicate improved soil ecosystem health, which is fundamental for sustainable agricultural systems.

The successful integration of biological and chemical components demonstrates that sustainable intensification is achievable without compromising the productivity. This finding is particularly relevant for addressing global food security challenges while maintaining environmental stewardship. This approach provides a viable pathway for reducing the environmental footprint of agriculture while enhancing productivity.

Pakistan's agricultural policy framework needs integration of biotechnological approaches with existing subsidy structures. The current fertilizer subsidy of Rs. 15 billion (2025-26 budget) could partially support integrated systems, potentially reducing the economic burden on farmers while achieving sustainability goals. Integration with the Ten Billion Tree Tsunami and climate resilience programs could provide additional funding mechanisms (23-26) .

The implementation challenges in Pakistan's agricultural sector are compounded by limited extension services reach to smallholder farmers (64% of total farms ≤5 ha). The scalability analysis demonstrates that integrated approaches require differentiated implementation strategies based on farm size, with small farms requiring simplified protocols and enhanced credit access.

This study was conducted under specific agro-climatic conditions of Peshawar, and validation across Pakistan's diverse ecological zones is necessary. The economic analysis reflected 2023-2024 market conditions and may vary with fertilizer price fluctuations. The long-term sustainability of enhanced soil microbial populations requires multi-year evaluation. Future research should focus on optimizing PGPR-AMF ratios for different soil types, developing simplified inoculation protocols for smallholder farmers, and evaluating integrated systems under various climate stress scenarios.

CONCLUSION

This study provides compelling evidence that the synergistic integration of chemistry and biotechnology offers a transformative approach to sustainable agriculture. For Pakistan's agricultural transformation, the integration of chemistry and biotechnology offers a pathway to address multiple challenges simultaneously, reducing dependency on expensive imported fertilizers, enhancing climate resilience, and improving food security. However, successful implementation requires policy support, affordable credit mechanisms, and technology transfer programs specifically designed for Pakistan's smallholder farming. This research contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting sustainable intensification strategies that can meet global food security needs while preserving natural resources for future generations.

Data Availability Statement

Raw data supporting the conclusions of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

1. Lundgren MR. Agricultural biotechnology: Potential, challenges, and debate. Plants, People, Planet. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.70019
2. Khajeeyan R, Taghavi SM, Hassanpouraghdam MB. Evaluation of the benefits of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and mycorrhizal fungi on drought tolerance in Aloe barbadensis Mill. Scientific Reports. 2024;14:14878. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-64878-9
3. Pakistan Bureau of S. Economic Survey of Pakistan 2024-25. 2025.
4. State Bank of P. Agricultural Credit Survey 2024-25. 2025.
5. Pakistan Agricultural Research C. Soil Survey of Pakistan: Regional Characteristics. 2025.
6. Ministry of National Food S, Research. National Food Security Policy 2025-30. 2025.
7. Tester M, Langridge P. Breeding Technologies to Increase Crop Production in a Changing World. Science. 2010;327(5967):818-22. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183700
8. Tilman D. Agricultural Sustainability and Intensive Production Practices. Nature. 2002;418:671-7. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01014
9. Zeng W, Liu H, Chen Y. Effects of combined inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on tobacco growth and rhizosphere microbial community. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2025;15:1475485. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1475485
10. Riaz M, Ahmad S, Khan MA. Evolution of agricultural biotechnology is the paradigm shift from conventional to molecular breeding for sustainable crop production. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2025;16:1585826. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2025.1585826
11. Kumar S. Integrated Use of Biofertilizers and Chemical Fertilizers for Sustainable Crop Production. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2020;43(7):1023-35.
12. Al-Shammary AAG, Kouzani AZ, Kaynak A. A comprehensive review of agronomic practices and their effects on soil health, crop productivity and environmental sustainability. Journal of Environmental Management. 2024;372:122728.
13. Bodirsky BL, Popp A, Lotze-Campen H, Dietrich JP, Rolinski S, Weindl I, Müller C. Reactive nitrogen requirements to feed the world in 2050 and potential to mitigate nitrogen pollution. Nature Communications. 2014;5(1):3858. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4858
14. Glick BR. Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria: Mechanisms and Applications. Scientifica. 2012:963401. https://doi.org/10.6064/2012/963401
15. Sagar A, Rathore P, Ramteke PW. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria, Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and their synergistic effects on plant growth under salinity stress. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2021;12:692618. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9071491
16. Competition Commission of P. Fertilizer Industry Assessment Report. 2025.
17. National Fertilizer Development C. Monthly Fertilizer Review July 2025. 2025.

18. Zandonadi DB. Humic Substances: Relevance and Implications for Soil Fertility and Plant Growth. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2016;7:1456.
19. Isman MB. Botanical Insecticides, Deterrents, and Repellents in Modern Agriculture and an Increasingly Regulated World. Annual Review of Entomology. 2006;51:45-66. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151146
20. Mittler R. ROS are good. Trends in Plant Science. 2017;22(1):11-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2016.08.002
21. Shen J. Advances in Fertilizer Technology for Sustainable Agriculture. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2018;9:1048.
22. Indus River System A. Water Availability Assessment 2024-25. 2025.
23. Gould F, Brown ZS, Kuzma J. Wicked evolution: Can we address the sociobiological dilemma of pesticide resistance? Science. 2018;360(6390):728-32. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3780
24. Vurukonda SSKP, Vardharajula S, Shrivastava M, SkZ A. Enhancement of drought stress tolerance in crops by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Microbiological Research. 2016;184:13-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.12.003
25. Nakashima K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. The transcriptional regulatory network in the drought response and its crosstalk in abiotic stress responses including drought, cold, and heat. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2014;5:170. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00170
26. Tilman D, Balzer C, Hill J, Befort BL. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2011;108(50):20260-4. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116437108