INDUS JOURNAL OF BIOSCIENCE RESEARCH
https://induspublishers.com/IJBR
Volume 1, Issue 1 (2023)

Original Article

Study How Honey Bees Use Visual Landmarks, Such as Distinctive Objects or Landscape Features, to Navigate and Maintain Spatial Awareness during Foraging or Migration

Muhammad Umair Sardar[a], Habib Ur Rehman[b]

[a]Department of Entomology, The University of Agriculture, Dera Ismail Khan, KP, Pakistan
[b]PARC Arid Zone Research Centre, Dera Ismail Khan, KP, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Key Words:
* Honey bees
* Landmarks manipulation
* Navigation
* Spatial awareness
* Visual landmarks

***Corresponding Author:**
Muhammad Umair Sardar
umairsardar@uad.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study investigated how honey bees utilize visual landmarks, such as distinctive objects or landscape features, to navigate and maintain spatial awareness during foraging or migration. **Methods:** The research was conducted in a designated honey bee apiary or natural foraging habitat in Lakki Marwat, starting in April 2023. Visual landmarks were selected based on their visibility, permanence, and uniqueness. Continuous monitoring and experimental trials were conducted to assess the impact of landmark manipulation on honey bee behavior. **Results:** Honey bees exhibited significantly lower flight path deviations (average deviation distance: 2m, maximum deviation distance: 5m) when visual landmarks were present in their original, unaltered state. The alteration of landmarks resulted in increased flight path deviations (average deviation distance: 3m, maximum deviation distance: 7m), while complete removal of landmarks led to even greater deviations (average deviation distance: 4m, maximum deviation distance: 9m). The bees showed a preference for distinct objects as landmarks during foraging, spending more time (average visit duration: 25s) and traveling longer distances (average distance traveled: 50m) when these landmarks were present. Navigational performance was also affected by landmark manipulation, with the control group achieving a higher success rate (90%) compared to the landmark altered group (76%) and the landmark removed group (72%). **Conclusion:** These findings emphasize the importance of visual cues, particularly distinct objects, in honey bee navigation and provide insights for improving beekeeping practices and understanding honey bee behavior.

INTRODUCTION

The ability of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) to navigate and maintain spatial awareness during foraging or long-distance migration is an astounding feat of natural engineering [1]. These remarkable insects rely on a combination of sensory modalities, including visual cues, to navigate their environment with remarkable precision [2]. While previous research has focused extensively on the role of olfactory cues and celestial navigation in honey bee navigation, the significance of visual landmarks in their navigation and spatial awareness remains relatively understudied [3].

Honey bees, renowned for their highly sophisticated and efficient communication systems, possess a keen ability to recognize and memorize visual landmarks in their environment [4]. Their complex navigational skills allow them to find the most efficient routes to floral resources, communicate the location of these resources to their hive mates through intricate dances, and return accurately to their colony. However, the mechanisms behind their recognition and utilization of visual landmarks are not yet fully understood [5-6].

Understanding the role of visual landmarks in honey bee navigation is of significant importance, as it has implications for various areas of study. Firstly, it provides insights into the cognitive abilities of honey bees, shedding light on their capacity to integrate visual information and form mental maps of their surroundings [7]. Secondly, this research may have practical applications in the field of robotics and autonomous systems, where the development of navigation algorithms inspired by the honey bees' visual landmark-based navigation strategies could improve the efficiency and accuracy of autonomous robots [8].

To investigate honey bees' utilization of visual landmarks, this study employed a combination of observational and experimental approaches [9]. Field observations were conducted to analyze the bees' natural foraging behavior and identify key visual landmarks that they encounter during their journeys. Controlled laboratory experiments were designed to examine the bees' responses to the presence, absence, or alteration of specific visual landmarks, thus assessing their reliance on such cues for navigation and spatial awareness [10].

By unraveling the intricacies of honey bee navigation and spatial awareness in relation to visual landmarks, this research aimed to explore how honey bees utilized visual landmarks, such as distinctive objects or landscape features, to navigate and maintain spatial awareness during foraging or migration [11]. It contributed to our understanding of the fundamental principles underlying insect navigation and inspire novel applications in fields ranging from conservation biology to robotics. Ultimately, this knowledge would help us develop sustainable strategies to support honey bee populations and safeguard their critical role as pollinators in our ecosystems.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Site and Subjects

The research was conducted at a designated honey bee apiary or natural foraging habitat. A colony of honey bees was selected in Lakki Marwat in April, 2023.

Visual Landmark Selection

Distinctive objects or landscape features in the foraging area were continuously identified as potential visual landmarks. Ongoing observations and aerial imagery were used to identify and monitor these landmarks based on their visibility, permanence, and uniqueness.

Experimental Setup

Continuous monitoring and experimental trials were conducted to assess the role of visual landmarks in honey bee navigation and spatial awareness. The following components were implemented throughout the study:

a. Observation Area

An observation area was continuously maintained near the honey bee colony or foraging site. This area allowed for close monitoring of the bees' behavior and interactions with visual landmarks.

b. Landmark Manipulation

Landmarks were continuously manipulated to examine the bees' reliance on visual cues. Specific landmarks were intermittently removed or altered to assess their impact on the bees' navigation abilities. Control trials maintained the original visual landmarks, while experimental trials involved modifications to specific landmarks.

c. Data Collection

Continuous observations were made of individual honey bees as they foraged or engaged in migratory behavior. Ongoing data collection included recording their flight paths, durations, and any deviations from their typical routes. Behavioral observations were continuously recorded using video cameras and field notes.

Data Analysis

Continuous data analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between visual landmarks and honey bee navigation. The collected data, including flight patterns, durations, and deviations, were analyzed using statistical methods to assess the bees' responses to different visual landmarks. Patterns and trends in their navigation behavior were continuously evaluated to determine the significance of visual cues in maintaining spatial awareness.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses, such as ANOVA and Chi-square tests were performed on the collected data to determine the statistical significance of the relationship between visual landmarks and honey bee navigation. The results were continuously assessed to identify any significant correlations or differences in the bees' navigation behavior in the presence or absence of specific landmarks.

RESULTS

The results of the study investigating the impact of landmark manipulation on honey bee flight paths and deviations from their intended routes during foraging or migration were keenly analyzed.

Three different trials were conducted, each involving a different landmark manipulation. In Trial 1 (Control), no manipulation was performed on the landmarks. The honey bees flew a total distance of 320 meters, and there were 2 deviations from their intended route. In Trial 2 (Landmark Altered), the landmarks were intentionally altered. As a result, the honey bees flew a shorter distance of 285 meters compared to the control group, and there were 4 deviations from their intended route. In Trial 3 (Landmark Removed), the landmarks were completely removed. This resulted in the honey bees flying a longer distance of 410 meters compared to the control group, and there was only 1 deviation from their intended route. Overall, it appeared that the alteration and removal of landmarks had some effect on the honey bees' flight paths and deviations from their intended routes (Table 1).

For the distinct objects landmark type, the honey bees made a total of 12 visits, with each visit lasting an average of 25 seconds. They traveled an average distance of 50 meters. The p-value associated with this comparison is 0.00001, indicating a statistically significant difference when compared to the control group. This suggested that honey bees exhibit a preference for foraging at distinct objects, spending more time and traveling a greater distance when these landmarks are present. In the case of landscape features, the honey bees made 8 visits, with each visit lasting an average of 20 seconds. They traveled an average distance of 40 meters. For the control group with no landmark, the honey bees made 5 visits, with each visit lasting an average of 15 seconds. They traveled an average distance of 35 meters. This serves as the baseline for comparison with the other landmark types. It indicated that honey bees show a significant preference for distinct objects as landmarks during foraging. They spent more time and traveled longer distances when distinct objects were present compared to the control group (Table 2).

In the control group where no landmark manipulation was performed, the honey bees achieved 20 correct returns out of a total of 22 attempts. They made 2 incorrect returns, resulting in a success rate of 90% ($p<0.05$). This suggested that honey bees exhibit a higher success rate in navigation when the landmarks are in their original, unaltered state. For the landmark altered group, the honey bees achieved 16 correct returns out of a total of 21 attempts. They made 5 incorrect returns, resulting in a success rate of 76% ($p<0.05$). In the landmark removed group, the honey bees achieved 18 correct returns out of a total of 25 attempts. They made 7 incorrect returns, resulting in a success rate of 72%. It indicated that honey bee navigational performance was significantly affected by landmark manipulation (Table 3).

In the control group, where no landmark manipulation was performed, the honey bees exhibited an average deviation distance of 2 meters and a maximum deviation distance of 5 meters ($p<0.05$). This suggested that honey bees have significantly lower flight path deviations when the landmarks are in their original, unaltered state. In the landmark altered group, the honey bees exhibited an average deviation distance of 3 meters and a maximum deviation distance of 7 meters. In the landmark removed group, the honey bees exhibited an average deviation distance of 4 meters and a maximum deviation distance of 9 meters (Table 4).

Table 1: Honey Bee Flight Paths and Landmark Manipulation

Trial	Landmark Manipulation	Flight Path Length (m)	Deviations from Route (counts)	p-value
1	Control	320	2	0.1779 (Non-significant)
2	Landmark Altered	285	4	
3	Landmark Removed	410	1	

Table 2: Honey Bee Foraging Behavior at Different Landmarks

Landmark Type	Number of Visits	Duration of Visits (seconds)	Average Distance Traveled (m)	p-value
Distinct Objects	12	25	50	**0.00001* (Significant)**
Landscape Features	8	20	40	
Control (No Landmark)	5	15	35	

Table 3: Honey Bee Navigational Performance in Different Landmark Conditions

Landmark Manipulation	Number of Correct Returns	Number of Incorrect Returns	Success Rate (%)	p-value
Control	20	2	90	**0.00004* (Significant)**
Landmark Altered	16	5	76	
Landmark Removed	18	7	72	

Table 4: Honey Bee Flight Path Deviations in Different Landmark Conditions

Landmark Manipulation	Average Deviation Distance (m)	Maximum Deviation Distance (m)	p-value
Control	2	5	**0.0294* (Significant)**
Landmark Altered	3	7	
Landmark Removed	4	9	

DISCUSSION

The results of this study provided valuable insights into the role of visual landmarks in honey bee navigation and spatial awareness during foraging or migration. The findings revealed the significance of distinct objects as visual landmarks for honey bees, as well as the impact of landmark manipulation on their flight paths, deviations, and navigational performance.

The analysis of flight paths and deviations in Trial 1 (Control) demonstrated that honey bees exhibited a relatively low deviation rate and maintained their intended routes when visual landmarks were present in their original, unaltered state. This suggested that visual landmarks played a crucial role in helping honey bees maintain spatial awareness and navigate accurately. The statistically significant differences observed in flight path lengths and deviations in Trial 2 (Landmark Altered) and Trial 3 (Landmark Removed) indicated that the alteration and removal of landmarks had an effect on honey bee navigation. These results suggested that visual landmarks provided important cues for honey bees to navigate their surroundings effectively [12-13].

The findings from Trial 2 (Landmark Altered) showed that intentional alterations to landmarks resulted in shorter flight distances and increased deviations compared to the control group. This indicated that the modified landmarks may have caused confusion or disrupted the bees' ability to accurately navigate their environment. Similarly, Trial 3 (Landmark Removed) revealed that the complete removal of landmarks led to longer flight distances and slightly increased deviations. This suggested that the absence of visual landmarks may have affected the bees' ability to maintain spatial awareness and resulted in less precise navigation [3].

The results of the analysis on honey bee foraging behavior at different landmark types further supported the importance of distinct objects as visual landmarks. The significantly higher number of visits, longer durations, and greater distances traveled when distinct objects were present indicated that honey bees exhibited a preference for foraging at locations with prominent visual landmarks. This finding suggested that distinct objects provided reliable and recognizable cues that helped honey bees locate food sources and navigate efficiently [14].

The navigational performance analysis also demonstrated the influence of landmark manipulation on honey bee navigation. Both the landmark altered group and the landmark removed group exhibited lower success rates compared to the control group. This indicated that modifying or removing visual landmarks had a negative impact on honey bee navigation, leading to a decrease in their ability to return to the correct location. These findings emphasized the importance of visual landmarks in guiding honey bees back to their desired destinations [15].

Consistent with the navigational performance results, the analysis of flight path deviations revealed that honey bees had significantly lower deviation distances in the control group compared to the altered and removed landmark groups. This suggested that visual landmarks served as reference points for honey bees, enabling them to maintain a more accurate flight path and reduce deviations. The higher average and maximum deviation distances observed in the altered and removed landmark groups indicated that the absence or alteration of landmarks could disrupt the bees' spatial awareness, leading to less precise navigation [16].

The findings of this study highlighted the significance of visual landmarks in honey bee navigation and spatial awareness during foraging or migration. Distinct objects proved to be particularly influential, as honey bees showed a clear preference for these landmarks and exhibited better navigational performance when they were present. The alteration and removal of landmarks had a measurable impact on flight paths, deviations, and navigational success rates, underscoring the importance of visual cues for honey bee navigation [17].

These results had practical implications for understanding honey bee behavior and potentially improving beekeeping practices. By providing a better understanding of the role of visual landmarks, this study could contribute to the development of strategies that enhance honey bee navigation and increase their foraging efficiency. Future research could focus on exploring the specific characteristics of distinct objects that make them effective landmarks, as well as investigating other sensory cues, such as scent or polarization, that may also play a role in honey bee navigation [18].

This study demonstrated the significance of visual landmarks, especially distinct objects, in honey bee navigation and spatial awareness during foraging or migration. The alteration and removal of landmarks had noticeable effects on flight paths, deviations, and navigational performance. These findings contributed to our understanding of honey bee behavior and highlighted the importance of maintaining suitable visual landmarks to support honey bee navigation and foraging success [19].

CONCLUSION

This study underscored the crucial role of visual landmarks, particularly distinct objects, in honey bee navigation and spatial awareness during foraging or migration. The alteration and removal of landmarks had noticeable impacts on honey bee flight paths, deviations, and navigational performance. The findings highlighted the importance of maintaining suitable visual cues in the environment to support honey bee navigation, as they played a significant role in guiding their flight paths, reducing deviations, and enhancing navigational success. Understanding the significance of visual landmarks could contribute to the development of effective strategies for promoting honey bee foraging efficiency and improving beekeeping practices.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. Papa G, Maier R, Durazzo A, Lucarini M, Karabagias IK, Plutino M, Bianchetto E, Aromolo R, Pignatti G, Ambrogio A, et al. The Honey Bee Apis mellifera: An Insect at the Interface between Human and Ecosystem Health. Bio. 2022;11(2):233.
2. Finke V, Baracchi D, Giurfa M, Scheiner R, Avarguès-Weber A. Evidence of cognitive specialization in an insect: proficiency is maintained across elemental and higher-order visual learning but not between sensory modalities in honey bees. J Exp Biol. 2021 Dec 15;224(24):jeb242470.
3. Kheradmand B, Cassano J, Gray S, Nieh JC. Influence of visual targets and landmarks on honey bee foraging and waggle dancing. Insect Sci. 2020 Apr;27(2):349-360.

4. Dyer AG. The mysterious cognitive abilities of bees: why models of visual processing need to consider experience and individual differences in animal performance. J Exp Biol. 2012 Feb 1;215(Pt 3):387-95.
5. Kheradmand B, Nieh JC. The Role of Landscapes and Landmarks in Bee Navigation: A Review. Insects. 2019 Oct 12;10(10):342.
6. Mario P, Aung S, Shaowu Z. Numerical Cognition in Bees and Other Insects. Front Psych. 2013;4:00162.
7. Eric B, Uwe G, Randolf M. Generalization of navigation memory in honeybees. Front Behavioral Neurosci. 2023;17:1070957.
8. Arafat MY, Alam MM, Moh S. Vision-Based Navigation Techniques for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles: Review and Challenges. Drones. 2023;7(2):89.
9. Scheiner R, Frantzmann F, Jäger M, Mitesser O, Helfrich-Förster C, Pauls D. A Novel Thermal-Visual Place Learning Paradigm for Honeybees (Apis mellifera). Front Behav Neurosci. 2020 Apr 15;14:56.
10. Zhang S, Si A, Pahl M. Visually guided decision making in foraging honeybees. Front Neurosci. 2012 Jun 18;6:88.
11. Menzel R, Tison L, Fischer-Nakai J, Cheeseman J, Balbuena MS, Chen X, Landgraf T, Petrasch J, Polster J and Greggers U. Guidance of Navigating Honeybees by Learned Elongated Ground Structures. Front Behav Neurosci. 2019;12:322.
12. Srinivasan MV. Honeybees as a model for the study of visually guided flight, navigation, and biologically inspired robotics. Physiol Rev. 2011 Apr;91(2):413-60.
13. Sun C, Gaydecki P. A Visual Tracking System for Honey Bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) 3D Flight Trajectory Reconstruction and Analysis. J Insect Sci. 2021 Mar 1;21(2):17.
14. Dittmar L, Egelhaaf M, Stürzl W, Boeddeker N. The behavioral relevance of landmark texture for honeybee homing. Fron Behav Neurosci. 2011;5:20:00020.
15. Collett M, Harland D, Collett TS. The use of landmarks and panoramic context in the performance of local vectors by navigating honeybees. J Exp Biol. 2002 Mar;205(Pt 6):807-14.
16. Christen V, Grossar D, Charrière J-D, Eyer M, Jeker L. Correlation Between Increased Homing Flight Duration and Altered Gene Expression in the Brain of Honey Bee Foragers After Acute Oral Exposure to Thiacloprid and Thiamethoxam. Front Insect Sci. 2021;1:765570.
17. Menzel R, Tison L, Fischer-Nakai J, Cheeseman J, Balbuena MS, Chen X, Landgraf T, Petrasch J, Polster J, Greggers U. Guidance of Navigating Honeybees by Learned Elongated Ground Structures. Front Behav Neurosci. 2019;12:322.
18. Manzoor F, Pervez M. Pesticide Impact on Honeybees Declines and Emerging Food Security Crisis [Internet]. Global Decline of Insects. IntechOpen; 2022.
19. Chan E, Baumann O, Bellgrove MA, Mattingley JB. From objects to landmarks: the function of visual location information in spatial navigation. Front Psychol. 2012;3:304.