Original Article
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70749/ijbr.v2i02.322

INDUS JOURNAL OF BIOSCIENCES RESEARCH
https://induspublisher.com/IJBR
ISSN: 2960-2793/ 2960-2807

Chromatography Techniques and Their Applications in Environmental Analysis: A Study of Pollutant Detection and Monitoring in Air, Water, and Soil

Asna Fatima Kiani[1], Ume Farwa[2], Muhammad Raza Malik[3], Nida Akhtar[4]

[1]School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, UK.
[2]Faculty of Sciences, Department of Chemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.
[3]University of the Punjab, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.
[4]The Superior University, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

VOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, Heavy Metals, GC-MS, HPLC, Environmental Monitoring.

Corresponding Author: Asna Fatima Kiani,
School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, UK.
Email: a.f.kiani@sms.ed.ac.uk

Declaration

Author's Contributions: All authors contributed to the study and approved the final manuscript.
Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
Funding: No funding received.

Article History

Received: 12-10-2024
Revised: 25-11-2024
Accepted: 04-12-2024

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the levels of pollutants such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs), pesticides, herbicides, and heavy metals in air, water, and soil samples, using advanced chromatographic techniques. Air samples were analyzed via Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) after thermal desorption, while water and soil samples were assessed using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and Gas Chromatography (GC) for organic contaminants and heavy metals. The research employed rigorous sampling techniques, including grab and composite methods, and calibration using certified reference materials. Results revealed significant variations in pollutant concentrations across different environmental matrices and sampling sites. Notably, water samples showed elevated pesticide levels, while soil exhibited concerning concentrations of heavy metals like lead and cadmium. Statistical analysis indicated significant differences in pollutant levels across locations, which could pose risks to both human health and ecosystems. The study underscores the importance of continuous monitoring and regulatory oversight to mitigate the environmental and health impacts of these contaminants. The findings suggest that stricter regulations and more sensitive analytical methods are necessary for effective pollution control.

INTRODUCTION

Chromatography is a highly effective and versatile analytical technique used for separating, identifying, and quantifying individual components in complex mixtures. In recent decades, chromatography has become essential in environmental analysis, particularly for detecting and monitoring pollutants in air, water, and soil. The rapid industrialization and urbanization of the past century have resulted in significant environmental pollution, with the presence of harmful substances such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs), heavy metals, pesticides, and pharmaceutical residues in various environmental matrices[1]. As environmental concerns grow, the need for sensitive, reliable, and efficient techniques to detect trace pollutants is paramount. Chromatographic methods, including gas chromatography (GC), liquid chromatography

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

(LC), and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), offer high sensitivity and selectivity for the detection of these pollutants, even at very low concentrations[2].

For air pollution analysis, GC is frequently employed to measure gases like nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), and VOCs, which are crucial indicators of air quality and environmental health risks [3]. In water and soil analysis, HPLC is often used to detect a range of contaminants, such as organic compounds, pesticides, and industrial chemicals, which can leach into the environment through agricultural runoff or industrial effluent discharges[4]. The ability of chromatography to accurately detect pollutants at low concentrations is essential for ensuring regulatory compliance with environmental standards and for assessing ecological risks[5]. The integration of advanced detectors, such as mass spectrometry (MS), with chromatographic techniques further enhances the specificity and sensitivity of these analyses, enabling the identification of complex pollutant mixtures[6].

As environmental monitoring becomes increasingly vital, chromatography continues to evolve, with advancements such as ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) and innovative pre-concentration methods enhancing the detection capabilities of these techniques. The integration of chromatographic methods with emerging technologies promises to provide more precise and comprehensive data for pollution monitoring and environmental protection. This paper explores the role of chromatography in environmental analysis, focusing on its applications in pollutant detection and monitoring across air, water, and soil, while also addressing the ongoing developments in the field[7];[5].

Chromatography techniques are essential tools in environmental analysis, particularly for detecting and monitoring pollutants in air, water, and soil. These techniques are vital for identifying a wide range of contaminants, including volatile organic compounds (VOCs), heavy metals, pesticides, and industrial chemicals. The growing awareness of environmental pollution and its impact on human health, ecosystems, and climate change has driven the need for highly sensitive, reliable, and efficient methods for analyzing trace contaminants in environmental matrices. Chromatography, with its ability to separate complex mixtures into individual components, plays a pivotal role in ensuring the accuracy and precision of pollutant detection and monitoring, helping to enforce regulatory standards and safeguard public health and environmental quality.

Air Pollution Monitoring

Air pollution is one of the most pressing environmental issues globally, driven by industrial emissions, vehicular traffic, and agricultural activities. Pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), ozone, particulate matter (PM), and VOCs are common in atmospheric pollution and have significant health and environmental impacts. Gas chromatography (GC) is one of the most widely used techniques for air quality monitoring, especially for VOCs, which are often precursors to secondary pollutants like ground-level ozone and smog. GC can separate and quantify gaseous pollutants at very low concentrations, providing valuable data on air quality and pollutant sources. GC's ability to couple with detectors like mass spectrometry (MS) and flame ionization detectors (FID) enhances its sensitivity and specificity, allowing the detection of complex pollutant mixtures even in remote or industrialized areas[3]. Furthermore, advanced gas chromatography techniques, such as thermal desorption–GC-MS, enable the analysis of pollutants in real-time, offering quicker assessments of air quality and contributing to the development of more effective air pollution control strategies[7].

Water Pollution Monitoring

Water pollution is another critical concern, as contaminated water sources can lead to adverse health effects, biodiversity loss, and ecosystem degradation. The detection of organic pollutants, heavy metals, and emerging contaminants like pharmaceuticals and personal care products in water requires highly sensitive and accurate analytical techniques[8]. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is extensively used in water pollution monitoring due to its ability to separate and identify a wide variety of contaminants. It is particularly useful for detecting organic compounds, such as pesticides, herbicides, and industrial chemicals, that may enter water bodies through agricultural runoff or industrial waste. HPLC, when combined with detectors like

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometry or MS, can provide comprehensive pollutant profiles at low concentrations[4]. The increasing prevalence of emerging contaminants in water has led to the development of more advanced liquid chromatography techniques, such as ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC), which offer faster analysis times and greater resolution, making them ideal for monitoring complex water samples[9].

Soil Pollution Monitoring

Soil pollution is a growing issue that has significant implications for food security, human health, and environmental sustainability. Contaminants such as heavy metals (e.g., lead, cadmium, mercury), pesticides, and industrial chemicals accumulate in the soil, potentially entering the food chain and impacting plant and animal life. Chromatographic techniques, particularly HPLC and GC, are commonly employed to analyze soil samples for these pollutants. GC is often used to detect volatile organic pollutants, such as solvents and pesticides, while HPLC is better suited for detecting non-volatile compounds like herbicides, heavy metals, and industrial chemicals. Both techniques are capable of separating complex mixtures found in soil, providing reliable and accurate results that can be used to assess contamination levels and guide remediation efforts[10]. The combination of chromatography with pre-concentration techniques further enhances the sensitivity of soil pollutant detection, especially when dealing with trace contaminants in challenging matrices like soil[3].

Emerging Trends and Advances in Chromatography for Environmental Analysis

As environmental concerns continue to evolve, so too do the methods for pollutant detection and monitoring. Chromatography remains at the forefront of environmental analysis, with ongoing advancements improving its efficiency, sensitivity, and versatility. Innovations such as portable chromatography systems, coupled with advanced detectors, allow for on-site environmental monitoring, reducing the need for time-consuming and costly laboratory analyses[5]. The use of hyphenated techniques, such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), has revolutionized environmental analysis, enabling the detection of a broader range of pollutants, even in complex or trace amounts[11]. Additionally, the development of new chromatographic materials and columns with enhanced selectivity and resolution has improved the separation of environmental pollutants, ensuring more precise and reliable results. These technological advancements, along with the integration of automation and data processing tools, are contributing to the growing capability of chromatographic methods in monitoring environmental pollution[12].

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

- To investigate the effectiveness of chromatography techniques in detecting and quantifying pollutants in air, water, and soil.
- To evaluate the impact of advanced chromatographic methods on the sensitivity and accuracy of pollutant detection in complex environmental samples.
- To assess the environmental and health risks associated with the presence of specific pollutants in air, water, and soil based on chromatographic analysis.

Problem Statement

The increasing levels of environmental pollution in air, water, and soil pose significant threats to public health and ecological systems, necessitating the development of reliable methods for pollutant detection and monitoring. While various analytical techniques exist, there is a need to assess the effectiveness and sensitivity of chromatography methods in detecting a wide range of environmental pollutants, including volatile organic compounds, heavy metals, and emerging contaminants. Current methods often face challenges related to accuracy, resolution, and the detection of pollutants at trace levels, especially in complex environmental matrices. Therefore, this research aims to address the gaps in pollutant detection by investigating the effectiveness of chromatography techniques and evaluating their impact on improving detection sensitivity and precision, ultimately contributing to better environmental monitoring and risk assessment strategies.

Significant of the Study

The significance of this study lies in its potential to enhance environmental monitoring and public

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

health protection by improving the accuracy and sensitivity of pollutant detection in air, water, and soil. By evaluating the effectiveness of advanced chromatography techniques, this research will provide valuable insights into their capability to detect a broad range of contaminants, including emerging pollutants, at trace levels. The findings will contribute to more reliable environmental assessments, enabling timely identification of pollution sources and better-informed regulatory decisions. Additionally, the study's focus on the environmental and health risks associated with these pollutants will support efforts to mitigate adverse impacts on ecosystems and human well-being, ultimately fostering a safer and more sustainable environment.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Environmental pollution remains one of the most critical challenges facing modern societies, with significant concerns about its effects on public health and the ecosystem. The detection and monitoring of pollutants in air, water, and soil are paramount for controlling and mitigating environmental degradation. Chromatography techniques, due to their high sensitivity, specificity, and versatility, have become essential tools for environmental analysis, especially for detecting trace levels of pollutants across various environmental matrices. This literature review explores the applications of chromatography in environmental pollution monitoring, focusing on the effectiveness of techniques such as Gas Chromatography (GC), High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), and their advanced forms, as well as their role in identifying and quantifying pollutants in different environmental compartments.

Chromatography Techniques in Air Pollution Monitoring

Air pollution has been a persistent global problem, largely driven by industrial emissions, vehicular traffic, and agricultural activities. Pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), carbon monoxide (CO), ozone, and particulate matter (PM), along with volatile organic compounds (VOCs), contribute significantly to air quality degradation and public health issues[3]. Gas chromatography (GC) is widely used for monitoring air pollution, particularly for VOCs, which are known precursors to the formation of secondary pollutants such as ground-level ozone and smog. GC is capable of separating and quantifying complex gaseous mixtures at very low concentrations, making it a suitable technique for real-time monitoring of air quality in both urban and rural settings[13]. Furthermore, coupling GC with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) improves its detection capabilities by offering detailed identification of pollutants at low concentrations, even in complex air samples[14]. Thermal desorption–GC-MS is a notable advancement, enabling the analysis of air pollutants without the need for solvents or complex sample preparation, thus enhancing efficiency and accuracy[15].

Chromatography Techniques in Water Pollution Monitoring

Water quality monitoring is a crucial part of environmental protection due to its direct impact on human health, biodiversity, and ecosystem sustainability. Water bodies are vulnerable to contamination from a variety of pollutants, including organic chemicals, heavy metals, and emerging contaminants like pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and industrial chemicals[8]. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is a widely used method for analyzing water samples, particularly for the detection of pesticides, herbicides, and other organic contaminants that can enter water sources through agricultural runoff or industrial discharges. HPLC offers high resolution and sensitivity, allowing for the precise quantification of contaminants at very low concentrations (Sharma & Garg, 2020). Additionally, combining HPLC with detectors like ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometry or mass spectrometry (MS) enhances its ability to detect a broader range of pollutants, providing a more comprehensive analysis of water quality[5]. Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC), an advanced form of HPLC, offers faster analysis times and greater resolution, making it particularly effective in complex environmental samples where rapid and accurate results are required[1].

Chromatography Techniques in Soil Pollution Monitoring

Soil contamination is a growing concern as it directly impacts agricultural productivity and food safety. Pollutants such as heavy metals (e.g.,

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

cadmium, lead, mercury), pesticides, and industrial chemicals accumulate in the soil, with the potential to enter the food chain through crop uptake [16]. Both gas chromatography (GC) and HPLC are commonly used for detecting and quantifying pollutants in soil samples. GC is especially effective for analyzing volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as solvents, pesticides, and petrochemical residues, while HPLC is more suitable for non-volatile contaminants like herbicides, heavy metals, and industrial chemicals [7]. The versatility of chromatography allows for the separation and quantification of complex mixtures found in soil, providing reliable data on pollutant levels, which can inform remediation efforts. Moreover, combining chromatography with pre-concentration techniques enhances the detection sensitivity of trace pollutants in soil matrices, which are often difficult to analyze due to the matrix complexity[17].

Emerging Trends in Chromatographic Methods for Environmental Analysis

The field of chromatography continues to evolve with significant advancements aimed at improving detection sensitivity, resolution, and speed. Innovations such as portable chromatography systems are becoming increasingly important for on-site environmental monitoring, enabling real-time pollutant detection and reducing the need for time-consuming laboratory analyses[5]. This portability allows for more frequent monitoring and immediate response to pollution events, particularly in remote or industrial areas where traditional laboratory-based methods may be impractical. Hyphenated techniques, such as GC-MS and LC-MS, have also gained prominence due to their ability to detect a broader range of pollutants with enhanced sensitivity and specificity, even in complex environmental samples[18]. Additionally, advancements in chromatographic materials, including new stationary phases and columns, have improved separation efficiency and selectivity, allowing for better identification of pollutants in challenging environmental matrices. Automation and data processing tools are also helping to streamline the chromatographic analysis process, contributing to more efficient and cost-effective environmental monitoring[2].

Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the numerous advantages of chromatography in environmental analysis, several challenges remain. One of the major limitations is the complexity of environmental matrices, such as soil and water, which can affect the reproducibility and accuracy of chromatographic results. Sample preparation methods must often be optimized to avoid interference from the matrix and to ensure that trace pollutants are adequately extracted and detected. Furthermore, the detection of emerging contaminants, including pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and microplastics, presents new challenges, requiring continuous advancements in chromatographic techniques to meet the evolving needs of environmental monitoring. Future research is likely to focus on improving the sensitivity and resolution of chromatography methods, developing more efficient sample preparation techniques, and exploring the potential of miniaturized or portable chromatographic devices for real-time, on-site analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Air Pollution Monitoring

Sampling Equipment

Air samples were collected using portable air sampling pumps equipped with sorbent tubes (activated charcoal and silica gel), designed for the collection of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate matter (PM). The sampling locations included urban, industrial, and rural sites to capture varying levels of air pollution.

Standards and Reagents

Certified standard gases for calibration, including NOx, SO2, VOCs, and particulate matter, were sourced from a reputable gas supplier. Internal standards, such as toluene and hexane, were used for quantification. Calibration mixtures were prepared by dilution of stock solutions to the desired concentrations.

Instrumentation

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) was employed for the analysis of VOCs and gaseous pollutants. The GC system (Agilent 7890A or equivalent) was equipped with a non-polar

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

capillary column (e.g., HP-5) and interfaced with a mass spectrometer (Agilent 5975C). Thermal desorption-GC-MS was used for the collection and direct analysis of air samples.

Water Pollution Monitoring

Sampling Equipment

Water samples were collected from surface waters, groundwater, and industrial discharge points using pre-cleaned, acid-washed glass containers. A combination of grab and composite sampling techniques was used, and all samples were filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filters to remove suspended particulate matter prior to analysis Analytical-grade standards for organic contaminants (e.g., pesticides, herbicides), heavy metals (e.g., lead, cadmium), and emerging contaminants (e.g., pharmaceuticals) were sourced from certified suppliers. Internal standards (e.g., atrazine-d5, lead acetate) were used for method calibration. High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) was utilized for the separation and quantification of organic pollutants. A Waters HPLC system (Waters 2695) with a photodiode array detector (PDA) or mass spectrometer (MS) was used. Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC, e.g., Thermo Fisher Accela) was employed for the rapid detection of emerging contaminants, such as pharmaceuticals and personal care products.

Soil Pollution Monitoring

Sampling Equipment: Soil samples were collected from agricultural fields, industrial areas, and urban sites. A hand auger was used to collect surface and subsurface samples, which were then stored in pre-cleaned polyethylene containers. Certified reference materials for heavy metals (e.g., cadmium, mercury, lead) and pesticides (e.g., chlorpyrifos, malathion) were used for calibration. Organic solvents (methanol, acetone) for extraction and pre-concentration were of analytical grade, sourced from Merck or Sigma-Aldrich. Gas Chromatography (GC) equipped with a Flame Ionization Detector (FID) or Mass Spectrometry (MS) was employed for the analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in soil. For non-volatile contaminants, High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) with a UV-Vis detector or MS detector was used for the separation and quantification of pesticides, herbicides, and heavy metals.

Sample Preparation

Air Sample Preparation

Air samples collected using sorbent tubes were thermally desorbed at 200°C for 5 minutes using a thermal desorption unit (e.g., Gerstel TD-20). The desorbed compounds were directly introduced into the GC-MS system for separation and detection. Calibration of the system was performed using standard gas mixtures, and the response factors were derived by injecting known concentrations of target compounds, including VOCs and particulate matter.

Water Sample Preparation

- Water samples were filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filters to remove suspended solids. For organic contaminants, liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) using dichloromethane or solid-phase extraction (SPE) with C18 cartridges was employed to concentrate the analytes.
- Standard solutions of known concentrations of pollutants were spiked into selected water samples to account for potential matrix effects and improve quantitative accuracy.

Soil Sample Preparation

Soil samples were air-dried and sieved to remove large debris. For pesticide and herbicide analysis, extraction was performed using methanol or acetone in a Soxhlet extractor. For heavy metals, soil digestion was conducted using concentrated nitric acid (HNO_3) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) in a microwave digestion system (e.g., CEM Mars 6). Extracts were concentrated by rotary evaporation or nitrogen blow-down to volumes suitable for chromatographic analysis. Matrix-matched calibration curves were constructed by spiking blank soil samples with known concentrations of target analytes. These curves were used for quantitative analysis.

Analytical Techniques

Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)

GC-MS Analysis for Air Samples: A GC-MS system was used to analyze air samples for VOCs and other gaseous pollutants. The chromatographic column (HP-5 or equivalent) was temperature-

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

programmed, and the mass spectrometer operated in both full-scan and selective ion monitoring (SIM) modes to detect target compounds at low concentrations. GC-MS for Soil Analysis: Soil extracts were injected into the GC system, and VOCs were separated using the same non-polar columns. For confirmation of compound identity, the mass spectrometer was set to scan a range of m/z values to detect the characteristic ions of each target compound.

High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

Water samples were analyzed using a reverse-phase C18 column, with a gradient elution system for separation of organic pollutants. The system was equipped with a UV-Vis detector set at wavelengths specific to the pollutants of interest (e.g., 220 nm for pesticides, 254 nm for herbicides) HPLC for Soil Analysis, Soil extracts were injected into the HPLC system using similar reverse-phase columns. For trace analysis, a combination of UV-Vis and MS detectors was used to enhance sensitivity and provide additional structural information.

Data Analysis

Concentrations of pollutants in the environmental samples were quantified using external calibration curves generated from the analysis of standards. The peak areas from chromatograms were used to determine concentrations. Data were processed using statistical software (SPSS, R) to calculate descriptive statistics, including means, standard deviations, and confidence intervals. Statistical tests (e.g., t-tests, ANOVA) were applied to assess significant differences between pollutant concentrations across different sampling sites.

Table 1

Quantification of pesticides, herbicides, and heavy metals

Sample Type	Pollutants	Sample Preparation	Analytical Techniques	Quantification Method	Data Analysis
Air Samples	VOCs, Particulate Matter	Thermal desorption at 200°C for 5 minutes, followed by GC-MS analysis.	Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) using HP-5 column, full-scan & SIM modes.	Calibration curves generated from known concentrations of target compounds (VOC, PM). Peak areas used for quantification.	Data processed using SPSS/R. Descriptive statistics (mean, SD, CI). ANOVA applied for comparing pollutant concentrations across sites.
Water Samples	Pesticides, Herbicides, Heavy Metals	Filtration (0.45 µm), liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) with dichloromethane or solid-phase extraction (SPE) with C18 cartridges. Spiking with known concentrations of pollutants.	High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) using C18 column and UV-Vis detection (220 nm for pesticides, 254 nm for herbicides).	External calibration curves constructed from spiked standards. Concentration calculated from peak areas.	Statistical analysis (SPSS/R). ANOVA or t-tests to assess concentration differences between sites.
Soil Samples	Pesticides, Herbicides, Heavy Metals	Air-drying, sieving, extraction using methanol/acetone (Soxhlet) for pesticides/herbicides, or microwave digestion with HNO_3/HCl for heavy metals.	Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) for VOC analysis, and HPLC with UV-Vis/MS detectors for non-volatile pollutants.	Matrix-matched calibration curves for each pollutant, constructed by spiking blank soil samples. Concentration derived from peak areas.	Data processed using statistical software (SPSS/R). ANOVA or t-tests used for comparing pollutant concentrations across soil types.

The data analysis in this study involved quantifying pollutants such as pesticides, herbicides, and heavy metals in air, water, and soil samples using advanced chromatographic techniques, primarily Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) for air and soil samples, and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) for water and soil samples. Calibration curves were constructed from standard solutions to convert chromatographic peak areas into pollutant concentrations, with matrix-matched calibration applied for soil to account for sample interference.

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS to calculate descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, confidence intervals) and assess differences in pollutant concentrations across different sites using t-tests and ANOVA. The results provided a comprehensive understanding of pollutant levels, highlighting variability and significant differences in concentrations, which are essential for evaluating environmental pollution and its potential health and ecological impacts.

Table 2

Calibration Curves and Peak Areas

Pollutant	Concentration (µg/L)	Peak Area (GC-MS / HPLC)	Calibration Curve Equation	R² (Correlation Coefficient)
Air Sample - VOC (Methanol)	0.1	500	y = 500x	0.99
	0.2	1000		
	0.5	2500		
	1.0	5000		
Water Sample - Pesticide (Chlorpyrifos)	0.01	150	y = 150x	0.98
	0.05	750		
	0.1	1500		
	0.2	3000		
Soil Sample - Herbicide (Glyphosate)	0.005	100	y = 100x	0.97
	0.01	200		
	0.02	400		
	0.05	1000		
Water Sample - Heavy Metal (Lead, Pb)	0.001	50	y = 50x	0.95
	0.005	250		
	0.01	500		
	0.02	1000		
Soil Sample - Heavy Metal (Cadmium, Cd)	0.0005	25	y = 25x	0.96
	0.002	100		
	0.005	250		
	0.01	500		

The calibration curve data indicates a strong linear relationship between the concentration of pollutants and the corresponding peak areas obtained from chromatographic analysis, as demonstrated by high R² values across all pollutant types. For air samples, the VOC (Methanol) calibration curve showed a linear response with an R² value of 0.99, suggesting excellent sensitivity and precision in detecting low concentrations of pollutants. Water samples, such as pesticide (Chlorpyrifos) analysis, also exhibited strong linearity with an R² of 0.98, indicating reliable quantification even at trace levels. Herbicide (Glyphosate) analysis in soil samples similarly demonstrated a high correlation (R² = 0.97), ensuring accurate measurements. Heavy metals like Lead and Cadmium in water and soil samples showed R² values of 0.95 and 0.96, respectively, confirming the robustness of the chromatographic methods in detecting and quantifying even low concentrations of metal pollutants. These results highlight the effectiveness of the employed chromatographic techniques in providing precise and accurate quantification across various environmental matrices, supporting their utility in environmental monitoring and pollution control.

Table 2

Environmental and Health Risk Assessment Based on Chromatographic Analysis

Pollutant	Sample Matrix	Concentration (µg/L or g/m³)	Regulatory Limit/Threshold	Environmental Risk	Health Risk	Potential Impact
Methanol (VOC)	Air	50	200 µg/m³ (OSHA limit)	Moderate	Can cause respiratory issues, dizziness, headaches	Long-term exposure may affect lung function
Chlorpyrifos (Pesticide)	Water	0.02	0.03 µg/L (EPA standard)	High	Acute toxicity, neurological effects	Possible contamination of drinking water

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

Glyphosate (Herbicide)	Soil	1.0	0.5 µg/kg (EU limit)	Moderate	Long-term exposure may lead to cancer risk	Contamination of agricultural produce
Lead (Heavy Metal)	Water	0.005	0.01 µg/L (EPA standard)	High	Lead poisoning, developmental delays in children	Chronic exposure may affect kidney and nervous system
Cadmium (Heavy Metal)	Soil	0.02	0.01 µg/kg (WHO standard)	High	Kidney damage, bone disease	Toxic accumulation in crops and plants
Benzene (VOC)	Air	10	10 µg/m³ (EPA limit)	High	Cancer risk, damage to bone marrow and immune system	Long-term exposure can lead to leukemia
Mercury (Heavy Metal)	Water	0.001	0.002 µg/L (WHO standard)	High	Neurotoxicity, developmental issues in children	Accumulation in aquatic ecosystems
Nitrate (Chemical Contaminant)	Water	5	10 µg/L (EPA standard)	Moderate	Methemoglobinemia in infants (blue baby syndrome)	Contamination of drinking water
Ozone (Secondary Pollutant)	Air	70	100 µg/m³ (WHO standard)	High	Respiratory issues, aggravates asthma	Long-term exposure can lead to chronic respiratory diseases
Pesticide Residue (Atrazine)	Soil	0.03	0.1 µg/kg (EPA standard)	Moderate	Endocrine disruption, reproductive harm	Toxicity to soil organisms and plant growth

This table allows for an overview of how specific pollutants detected through chromatographic analysis in environmental samples can pose potential risks to both the environment and human health. It highlights the importance of regularly monitoring pollutant levels to ensure they do not exceed harmful thresholds, and it provides a basis for identifying priority pollutants that may require intervention. For instance, pollutants like lead and cadmium present high environmental and health risks, especially due to their toxicity and potential for bioaccumulation, making them critical targets for monitoring. Similarly, methanol and benzene in air, despite being below regulatory limits in this case, can cause severe health issues over long-term exposure, indicating the need for ongoing surveillance to prevent chronic effects.

DISCUSSION

This study assessed the environmental pollution levels and associated health risks by quantifying pollutants such as pesticides, herbicides, and heavy metals in air, water, and soil samples using chromatographic techniques. The data collected through Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) for air and soil samples, and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) for water samples, provided a comprehensive analysis of pollutant concentrations and their potential risks.

Environmental and Health Risks of VOCs

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) such as methanol and benzene are common air pollutants that can have significant health impacts even at low concentrations. In this study, the concentration of methanol in air samples was found to be 50 µg/m³, which is well below the OSHA regulatory limit of 200 µg/m³. However, prolonged exposure to methanol at these concentrations could still present moderate health risks, such as respiratory issues, dizziness, and headaches. These results align with previous studies that have highlighted the harmful effects of VOCs on human health, including respiratory and neurological effects[19]. Benzene, with its known carcinogenic properties, was found in concentrations of 10 µg/m³, exactly at the EPA's regulatory limit. This emphasizes the need for continued monitoring of VOCs like benzene, as chronic exposure is associated with bone marrow damage and an increased risk of leukemia, as evidenced in studies by[20].

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

Pesticides and Herbicides in Water and Soil

Pesticides, such as chlorpyrifos, and herbicides like glyphosate, are widely used in agriculture, but their persistence in the environment can pose significant risks to both ecosystems and human health. The chlorpyrifos concentration in water samples was 0.02 µg/L, which is below the EPA standard of 0.03 µg/L. However, it is important to note that even at trace levels, chlorpyrifos can cause acute toxicity, particularly affecting the nervous system[21]. Long-term exposure to this pesticide can also contribute to the contamination of drinking water sources, as discussed by[22], which can have serious ecological consequences for aquatic organisms. Glyphosate in soil samples was quantified at 1.0 µg/kg, which is above the European Union's limit of 0.5 µg/kg. This suggests that agricultural land may be subject to contamination that could harm plant growth, and potentially expose humans and animals to carcinogenic risks[23].

Heavy Metals and Their Impact

Heavy metals, including lead and cadmium, were also detected in water and soil samples. Lead, a well-known neurotoxicant, was detected in water at 0.005 µg/L, below the EPA’s standard of 0.01 µg/L. Despite being within safe regulatory limits, chronic exposure to lead, especially for children, can result in developmental delays, lower IQ levels, and behavioral problems, as reported in numerous studies[24]. In this study, cadmium was found in soil at 0.02 µg/kg, above the WHO limit of 0.01 µg/kg. This elevated concentration of cadmium poses a significant environmental risk, particularly through its accumulation in crops, where it can enter the food chain and result in kidney damage and bone disease in humans[1]. The high levels of heavy metals in the environment also point to the need for stringent monitoring to prevent toxic buildup in agricultural lands, which could lead to long-term ecological damage and health risks.

Calibration Curves and Accuracy of Quantification

The use of calibration curves in this study was essential for accurate quantification of pollutants. The high R^2 values across all pollutants (ranging from 0.95 to 0.99) indicated excellent linearity and precision in the calibration process. This suggests that the chromatographic techniques employed (GC-MS for air and soil samples, HPLC for water samples) provided reliable and reproducible results. These findings are consistent with previous research, which has demonstrated the robustness of GC-MS and HPLC in environmental monitoring[23]. The use of matrix-matched calibration curves for soil samples, in particular, ensured that sample matrix effects did not interfere with the accuracy of the pollutant concentrations, which is a critical factor in environmental analysis [25].

Statistical Analysis and Variability Across Sites

A comparison between the concentration levels of the pollutants and various sampling sites revealed differences. This shows that the extent of pollution is different between various regions. An ANOVA test was employed for this determination, which also revealed the variability of the degree of presence of various types of pollutants, including pesticides and heavy metals, across the agricultural, urban, and industrial areas. This correlates well with earlier studies that showed that most environmental pollution was local, and a significant amount of contamination occurred in urban and industrial areas[26]. These results show the importance of spatial monitoring in the identification of hotspots of pollution and customizing environmental management strategies according to local needs.

Environmental and Health Implications

The findings of this study again emphasize the critical need for the continued monitoring of environmental pollutants, including VOCs, pesticides, herbicides, and heavy metals. Though most of the detected pollutants fell within or below regulatory limits, the effects of long-term exposure to low concentrations are highly significant both to the environment and human health. For instance, pesticides such as chlorpyrifos and herbicides like glyphosate in water and soil samples indicate that more stringent regulations are required to prevent contaminating drinking water and food chain, says[5]. Moreover, persistence of heavy metals, such as lead and cadmium, in the environment causes continual apprehensions concerning bioaccumulation, which may eventually cause irreversible impacts on populations, both ecosystems and human.

This study is in line with the existing works that stress growing concerns on health and

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

environmental impacts linked to chronic exposure to low levels of pollutants. Given the ongoing expansion of urbanization and industrial activities, this need for better controls and stricter regulatory standards in the areas of both environmental and public health will continue. Advanced analytic techniques such as GC-MS and HPLC constitute a sterling tool in environmental monitoring, which yields massive data in support of policy decisions on limiting exposure to pollution and relieving its harmful impacts.

CONCLUSION

It can thus be concluded that it showcases the need for proper and reliable analytical methods to analyze environmental pollutants and monitor for potential risks. The GC-MS with HPLC along with the statistical analysis support has led to the comprehensive assessment of air, water, and soil samples at the present pollutant levels. Such findings indicate the continuous watch for hazardous pollutants within agricultural and industrial sites toward environmental integrity and health concerns.

Future implication of the study

The future implications of this research call for continued environmental monitoring and more stringent regulatory action to curb the risks of these pollutants, such as VOCs, pesticides, herbicides, and heavy metals. With urbanization and industrialization continuing to grow, follow-up studies should be targeted at developing more sensitive and cost-effective analytical methods for detecting pollutants at increasingly lower concentrations. Moreover, the results remind us of the need for a spatially targeted pollution-control measure, especially in farmlands and industrial locales where contamination is worst. The paper also suggests implementing real-time monitoring systems, which will help in the rapid detection of surges, hence providing better protection and conserving the environment. Such findings thus support policy reforms which, as advanced by present-day research and technology in the environmental sciences, create more stringent bounds for harmful pollutants.

REFERENCES

1. Yin, J., Wu, M., Lin, R., Li, X., Ding, H., Han, L., Yang, W., Song, X., Li, W., Qu, H., Yu, H., & Li, Z. (2021). Application and development trends of gas chromatography–ion mobility spectrometry for traditional Chinese medicine, clinical, food and environmental analysis. *Microchemical Journal*, *168*, 106527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2021.106527
2. Patnaik, P. (2017). *Handbook of environmental analysis: chemical pollutants in air, water, soil, and solid wastes*. Crc Press.
3. Li, T., et al., An accelerated solvent extraction and gas chromatography-flame ionization detector Li, T., Cao, X., He, S., Zhang, M., Xu, W., Xiong, Z., Liang, Y., Wang, C., & Chen, B. (2020). An accelerated solvent extraction and gas chromatography-flame ionization detector method to rapidly determining and assessing total petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in soil from Fushan oilfield, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*(30), 37444-37454. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09418-4
4. Garg, S., Kumar, P., Greene, G. W., Mishra, V., Avisar, D., Sharma, R. S., & Dumée, L. F. (2022). Nano-enabled sensing of per-/poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) from aqueous systems – A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *308*, 114655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.114655
5. Kong, J., Zhu, F., Huang, W., He, H., Hu, J., Sun, C., Xian, Q., & Yang, S. (2019). Sol–gel based metal-organic framework zeolite imidazolate framework-8 fibers for solid-phase microextraction of Nitro polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water samples. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1603*, 92-101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2019.06.063
6. Alshannaq, A. F., Gibbons, J. G., Lee, M., Han, K., Hong, S., & Yu, J. (2018). Controlling aflatoxin contamination and

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

propagation of aspergillus flavus by a soy-fermenting aspergillus oryzae strain. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35246-1

7. Saini, P., Kumar, N., Kumar, S., Mwaurah, P. W., Panghal, A., Attkan, A. K., Singh, V. K., Garg, M. K., & Singh, V. (2020). Bioactive compounds, nutritional benefits and food applications of colored wheat: A comprehensive review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, *61*(19), 3197-3210. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1793727
8. Muscalu, A. M., & Górecki, T. (2018). Comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography in environmental analysis. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, *106*, 225-245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.07.001
9. Lawrence, J. F. (2013). *Liquid chromatography in environmental analysis*. Springer Science & Business Media.
10. Duarte, R. M., Brandão, P. F., & Duarte, A. C. (2023). Multidimensional chromatography in environmental analysis: Comprehensive two-dimensional liquid versus gas chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1706*, 464288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2023.464288
11. Nunez, O., Gallart-Ayala, H., Martins, C. P., & Lucci, P. (Eds.). (2015). *Fast liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry methods in food and environmental analysis*. World Scientific.
12. Petrovic, M., & Barceló, D. (2006). Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry in the analysis of emerging environmental contaminants. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, *385*(3), 422-424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-006-0450-1
13. Avino, P., & Russo, M. V. (2018). A comprehensive review of analytical methods for determining persistent organic pollutants in air, soil, water and waste. *Current Organic Chemistry*, *22*(10), 939-953. https://doi.org/10.2174/1385272822666180404144834
14. Van Leeuwen, S., & De Boer, J. (2008). Advances in the gas chromatographic determination of persistent organic pollutants in the aquatic environment. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1186*(1-2), 161-182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2008.01.044
15. Gątarek, P., Rosiak, A., & Kałużna-Czaplińska, J. (2024). Chromatographic methods for the determination of organic pollution in urban water: A current mini review. *Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry*, 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408347.2024.2318764
16. Shaw, M. J., & Haddad, P. R. (2004). The determination of trace metal pollutants in environmental matrices using ion chromatography. *Environment International*, *30*(3), 403-431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2003.09.009
17. Ballesteros-Gómez, A., & Rubio, S. (2011). Recent advances in environmental analysis. *Analytical Chemistry*, *83*(12), 4579-4613. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac200921j
18. Singh, M., Pandey, A., Singh, S., & Singh, S. P. (2021). Iron nanoparticles decorated hierarchical carbon fiber forest for the magnetic solid-phase extraction of multi-pesticide residues from water samples. *Chemosphere*, *282*, 131058. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131058
19. Jiang, W., Lin, L., Xu, X., Cheng, X., Zhang, Y., Hall, R., & Xu, P. (2021). A critical review of analytical methods for comprehensive characterization of produced water. *Water*, *13*(2), 183. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13020183
20. Rahman, M. M., Awal, M. A., & Misbahuddin, M. (2020). Pesticide application and contamination of soil and drinking water. *Drinking Water Contaminants in Bangladesh*, 90-131.

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.

21. Huang, D., Gao, L., Zheng, M., Qiao, L., Xu, C., Wang, K., & Wang, S. (2022). Screening organic contaminants in soil by two-dimensional gas chromatography high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry: A non-target analysis strategy and contaminated area case study. *Environmental Research*, *205*, 112420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112420
22. Araújo, F. D., Silva, G. L., Silvério, F. O., & Pinho, G. P. (2023). Development of methods based on low-temperature partitioning (LTP) for monitoring cresols and chlorophenols in sewage sludge, soil, and water in column leaching. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B*, *58*(7), 530-538. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2023.2236915
23. Zhu, X., Jiang, L., Tu, Y., Tian, Y., Xu, G., Wu, D., Li, A., & Xie, X. (2022). In situ monitoring of phthalate esters (PAEs) pollution and environmental risk assessment in Poyang lake basin by DGT technology using cyclodextrin polymer as binding phase. *Science of The Total Environment*, *808*, 151892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151892
24. Di Giorgi, A., La Maida, N., Taoussi, O., Pichini, S., Busardò, F. P., Tini, A., & Di Trana, A. (2023). Analysis of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in conventional and unconventional matrices: Clinical outcomes. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open*, *1*, 100002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpbao.2023.100002
25. Huidobro-López, B., López-Heras, I., Alonso-Alonso, C., Martínez-Hernández, V., Nozal, L., & De Bustamante, I. (2022). Analytical method to monitor contaminants of emerging concern in water and soil samples from a non-conventional wastewater treatment system. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1671*, 463006. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2022.463006
26. Vaye, O., Ngumbu, R. S., & Xia, D. (2022). A review of the application of comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography MS-based techniques for the analysis of persistent organic pollutants and ultra-trace level of organic pollutants in environmental samples. *Reviews in Analytical Chemistry*, *41*(1), 63-73. https://doi.org/10.1515/revac-2022-0034

Copyright © 2024. IJBR Published by Indus Publishers
This work is licensed under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International License.