



Universal Healthcare: Evaluating the Feasibility and Impact of Implementing Universal Health Coverage Worldwide

Muhammad Azam¹, Imtiaz Ali Soomro², Sobia Naseem Siddiqui³, Zainullah⁴, Munawar Shahzad⁵, Afshan Khalid⁶

¹Department of Sports Sciences & Physical Education, Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan, KP, Pakistan.

²Assistant Professor of surgery, Peoples University of Medical and Health Sciences, Nawabshah, Sindh, Pakistan.

³Department of Obs & Gynae, Shaukat Omar Memorial Hospital (SOM Fauji Foundation), Karachi/Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.

⁴Allama Iqbal Medical College Lahore/Jinnah Hospital Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.

⁵Ziauddin University, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.

⁶Ibn-e-Sina Hospital Multan, Punjab, Pakistan.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

Universal Healthcare, Universal Health Coverage (UHC), Global Health, Healthcare Access, Health Equity, Healthcare Systems, Affordability, Health Policy.

Corresponding Author: Sobia Naseem Siddiqui,

Department of Obs & Gynae, Shaukat Omar Memorial Hospital (SOM Fauji Foundation), Karachi/Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.

Email: sddqsobia@gmail.com

Declaration

Author's Contributions: All authors equally contributed to the study and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest.

Funding: No funding received by the authors.

Article History

Received: 06-10-2024

Revised: 07-01-2025

Accepted: 23-02-2025

ABSTRACT

Universal health coverage, widely considered a basic human right, is a health system that ensures all people have access to necessary medical services without any financial barriers. The global discussion on UHC has gained momentum as countries strive to enhance health outcomes, reduce health inequities, and promote general social well-being. The implementation of UHC across the globe would require careful assessment of some of the major factors, including economic costs, healthcare infrastructure, political commitment, and availability of healthcare professionals. For UHC to work, a holistic approach is necessary—one that deals with various health challenges, integrates existing healthcare systems, and makes sure that services remain affordable and accessible to all populations. There are many examples of successful models of UHC that exist in Sweden, Canada, and Japan, among others. Such models have minimized health disparities, increased access to essential healthcare, and improved the population health outcome. There is still resistance to UHC expansion due to political and resource-related constraints and lack of financial support. More recently, the addition of electronic health records and telemedicine has been seen as an essential enabler to expand healthcare access and improve quality-of-service delivery. Though challenges abound, it is apparent that UHC can be attained with concerted global effort, effective funding mechanisms, and strong political will at national and international levels. UHC in the long run can definitely be a factor to improve the health equity situation of the whole world. On the one hand, it could bridge the rich and poor nations with the service delivery of health without causing the individual financial burdens.

INTRODUCTION

Universal health care has been long considered a human right, which entitles each human being to receive all forms of medical care without cost [1]. Achieving UHC around the globe is rapidly picking momentum as governments, policymakers, and international organizations now realize the importance of health care for social and economic well-being [2]. UHC focuses on delivering equal health services to everybody, irrespective of the economic status, location, or background. There is now global interest in this health care model due to an increasing burden of disease across

the globe, inequity in access to healthcare services, and a yearning for sustainable health systems capable of providing a home for varied populations [3].

Universal health coverage, however, is an intricate issue requiring cautious consideration of several factors such as its economic feasibility, the healthcare infrastructure, and political will [4]. Countries that have successfully implemented UHC, such as Canada, the United Kingdom, and several Nordic nations, serve as examples of how structured health policies lead to better health results as well as a reduction in disparities [5].

However, financial constraints, a lack of medical resources, and inefficient healthcare systems are the biggest challenges for many low- and middle-income countries in achieving universal coverage [6]. The main concern is the cost of establishing and maintaining a UHC system, as it requires huge investment in healthcare facilities, workforce training, and medical technologies [7]. Additionally, political resistance and ideological differences in terms of the role of government in healthcare add more complexity to the adoption of UHC in different regions [8].

Despite such challenges, empirical evidence suggests that UHC systems have been instrumental in better health indicators, greater life expectancy, and quality of life [9]. It has been observed that countries which implement UHC models see lower rates of infant mortality, manage chronic diseases better, and overall enhance public health conditions [10]. Access to health care without financial burdens ensures that the people respond promptly to health conditions thus reducing the long-term burden of untreatable illnesses in society [11]. The role of technology, especially electronic health records and telemedicine, is becoming increasingly vital in improving access to healthcare and increasing efficiency, with the greatest potential being in underserved and remote areas [12]. Advancement in digital technology is observed to close the gaps of service delivery, optimize health care management, and bring effectiveness to UHC initiatives in general [13].

To assess the feasibility of UHC, several factors need to be taken into consideration, including the available healthcare models, the financial planning, and also international cooperation facilitating universal coverage [14]. The strongest supporters of UHC are global health organizations, including the WHO and UN, which emphasize its implementation as a means to achieve health equity and sustainable development [15]. This is only possible if all the governments, healthcare providers, and policymakers synergies in designing systems that are participatory, both affordable and of high quality [16]. The disparities that feature between high-income nations and low-income nations must also be borne in mind, for resource-poor countries mean a struggle with deficit funding and deficits in infrastructure [17]. International support, financial aid, and policy frameworks need to be designed to help these countries develop strong healthcare systems that can absorb universal coverage [18].

Finally, universal health coverage is a transformational approach to health service delivery as it will make available to everyone health services irrespective of the ability to pay [19]. Despite these formidable challenges in the global implementation of UHC, the potential benefits of such a system, including health improvement and economic growth, provide ample reason for considering UHC as a priority area for

global health action [20]. Nations can join forces in working towards setting up health care systems, anchored by equity, access, and sustainability principles, free from financial, infrastructural, and political constraints [21].

Importance of Universal Health Coverage

Universal health coverage is not just about the wellness of an individual but also about the economy and social stability. Healthy care systems strengthen their economy, promote higher life expectancy, lower infant mortality rates, and properly well caretaking with chronic diseases [3]. In addition, more access to health care will reduce the occurrence of preventable diseases thereby saving long-term costs to the government and health care [22]. It promotes UHC as a means of economic development since it maintains a healthier workforce, increases productivity, and reduces poverty that results from out-of-pocket medical expenditures [23].

Challenges in Implementing UHC

Although universal health coverage has several benefits, there are significant challenges associated with it. First and foremost, setting up and sustaining a UHC system is quite expensive for most low- and middle-income countries [24]. Funding healthcare services, training medical personnel, and upgrading infrastructure costs are often unaffordable to resource-limited nations [8]. Additional challenges towards implementing UHC in various countries include political resistance and ideological debates over the scope of government involvement in healthcare [20]. Moreover, variations in healthcare infrastructure, availability of trained professionals, and technological progress have complicated universal coverage realization even further [25].

Global Examples of Universal Healthcare

Several countries have achieved UHC, which provides insight into how it is feasible and what impacts it may bring upon implementation. Advanced countries like Canada, the United Kingdom, and Sweden have well-established UHC structures, assuring complete access to health care by citizens [26]. Countries like these offer an example of how government-funded health care leads to improved health outcomes and erodes financial barriers to medical care [27]. However, every system is different in terms of funding mechanisms, service delivery models, and government involvement, so well-designed approaches may be needed for the practical implementation of UHC [28].

The Role of Technology in UHC Expansion

Advances in medical technology and digital health services have greatly contributed to the expansion of access to healthcare. Electronic health records, telemedicine, and artificial intelligence in managing health care have improved efficiency, reduced costs, and

bridged gaps in service delivery, especially in underserved areas [29]. Digital healthcare solutions can enhance the implementation of UHC by streamlining patient data management, enabling remote consultations, and improving overall healthcare coordination [30].

The Need for Global Collaboration

International coordination among governments, international bodies, and care service providers is needed to achieve universal coverage with health. Organizations like the World Health Organization and United Nations promote Universal Health Coverage for health equity and sustainable development [31]. Low-income countries must develop more resilient healthcare systems with financial support, policy frameworks, and international partnerships. This will ensure that quality healthcare services are accessible to all, and disparities between high-income and low-income nations are addressed [32].

Research Objectives

Here are your research objectives for your study:

1. To assess whether UHC could be implemented universally by evaluating the economic, political, and infrastructural factors that may impact this.
2. To measure the effect of UHC on the accessibility of healthcare, health equity, and general population health outcomes.

Problem Statement

There are significant disparities in healthcare access, financial hardship, and poor health outcomes in the absence of universal health coverage across the world, especially in low- and middle-income countries. Many people are unable to afford the essential medical services they need, leading to preventable diseases, higher mortality rates, and increased economic burdens on both families and healthcare systems. Although some countries have managed to implement UHC, others are facing issues such as a lack of funds, weak health infrastructure, and political resistance. This study attempts to address the feasibility of implementing global UHC by looking at financial, political, and technological barriers while assessing its potential impact on health equity and overall well-being.

Significant of the Study

The importance of this study lies in its ability to probe the feasibility and effects of universal health coverage at the global level. It is regarded as crucial research in that it undertakes economic, political, and infrastructural analyses, which are helpful for policymakers, healthcare providers, and international organizations trying to improve access and equity in the delivery of health care. Understanding the benefits and obstacles of UHC can help a country make sustainable health policy, reduce medical care disparities, and serve generally to enhance the public health outcome as well. Furthermore, this

paper underlines the technological role in answering the expansion of healthcare, offering practical solutions for bridging gaps about access to healthcare services, especially in low-resource settings.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Concept and Evolution of Universal Healthcare

Universal healthcare (UHC) is one of the issues discussed both in health equity and social welfare. Based on the World Health Organization, UHC is a system "that ensures that all individuals have access to the health services needed without having to bear any financial hardship [33]. The concept of universal health coverage has historically evolved through various national policies on health, especially in high-income countries.". Among the earliest starters of public-funded health systems were the European nations: United Kingdom, Germany, and Sweden, all showing how government intervention can provide access to health equitably [34].

UHC was a high-profile topic of discussion in international health forums after the promulgation of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals in 2015 that emphasize universal access to quality health services. The commonly advocated agenda for UHC does not have meaningful implementation among countries mainly due to infrastructural differences of healthcare, differing economic resources, and political priorities [26].

Economic Feasibility of Universal Healthcare

Major concerns in the worldwide achievement of UHC are that it is fiscally unsustainable. Findings indicate that even if high-income countries have managed to finance universal health care through taxation or social health insurance, LMICs lack sufficiently developed fiscal capacity to do the same [35]. Government resources for paying for comprehensive services, which include hospital care, medication, and preventive services, can be crippling. study shows that out-of-pocket healthcare expenditure accounts for a large proportion of total health expenditures in many developing countries, thus causing financial burden and health inequities [36].

However, experiences in Thailand and Rwanda indicate that innovative financing mechanisms such as government subsidies and progressive taxation can be instrumental in supporting implementation of UHC even in resource-scarce settings [10]. Research points out that the long-term economic benefits arising from the investments on UHC lie in increasing productivity in the workforce, reducing a significant disease burden, and overall reducing healthcare costs through preventive measures aimed at curbing costs [37].

Healthcare Infrastructure and Human Resource Challenges

In addition to financial aspects, the implementation of UHC would be successful only when good health care

infrastructure and adequate human resources are there. Better-developed health care facilities with sufficient numbers of well-trained medical professionals and developed health care technology can implement universal coverage better than others. However, shortage of health workers, outdated medical facilities, and inadequate supply chain for essential medicines in LMICs are considered to create a substantial barrier for adopting UHC [2].

The World Health Organization reports that almost 18 million healthcare workers are in short supply globally, largely in low-income countries [38]. Even if the financial systems for UHC are in place, such a gap in workforce bars healthcare systems from being able to render quality services to every citizen. Some studies indicate that, as a form of task-shifting, where lower-level healthcare workers are trained to perform specific medical tasks, such strategies would help alleviate the shortage of some working force and expand healthcare access in poor regions [39].

Political and Policy Barriers to UHC Implementation

Political will and policy commitment are the key determinants of success in UHC. Countries with robust political leadership and stable governance structures have been able to implement and sustain universal healthcare programs effectively. For instance, Japan and Canada have maintained UHC by continuous policy support and public funding mechanisms.

However, political instability, corruption, and ideological resistance towards the government-implemented health-care programs have ruined UHC endeavors in many nations. Research demonstrates that resistance on the part of private stakeholders who are interested in healthcare, a fear of enhanced taxation, and apprehensions toward government inefficiency are some common reasons for resisting universal health care policies. Some politically motivated changes in leaders lead to such policy reversals that give rise to a state of uncertainty regarding reforms in health care. All researches underline the point of political commitment, legislature support, and public engagement sustainably in order to breach these barriers with long-term potential of UHC programs [40].

Impact of Universal Healthcare on Public Health and Equity

Evidence from the countries that have adopted UHC indicates considerable improvement in public health indicators and reductions in health disparities. Studies have indicated that UHC is associated with reduced mortality rates, better management of chronic diseases, and improved maternal and child health outcomes. In countries like Sweden and France, where health care services are universally accessible, health disparities between socioeconomic groups are considerably lower

compared to countries that do not offer universal coverage [41].

Furthermore, reported that countries implementing UHC had a higher vaccination coverage, better access to essential drugs, and use of preventive services [27]. This indicates that universal health coverage not only fights existing health disparities but also creates proactive health seeking, thus decongesting national healthcare from preventable burdens.

The Role of Technology in Expanding UHC

Technological advancement has been the most important facilitator of access and efficiency in universal healthcare systems. EHRs, telemedicine, and AI in healthcare delivery have improved patient outcomes and reduced operational costs in many countries [42]. Telemedicine has been an excellent tool in extending healthcare services to the rural and remote areas, curbing geographical barriers to health care services access [43].

The studies reveal that digital health solutions will optimize resource allocation, improve patient data management, and streamline service delivery to make UHC more effective. However, the challenges such as data privacy concerns, lack of digital infrastructure in low-income countries, and resistance to technological adoption are major obstacles to the full exploitation of technology in UHC expansion.

Global Efforts and Future Directions for UHC

International organizations such as WHO, the World Bank, and the United Nations are still discussing UHC as a health target globally. WHO's "Health for All" program mainly focuses on equitable and accessible healthcare systems through collaborative efforts at sustainable healthcare building. Global health financing programs, including the Global Fund and Gavi, also significantly contributed to improving healthcare systems in low-income countries by funding essential health services [44].

A multi-sectorial approach from governments, private sectors, and international agencies should be adopted in achieving universal healthcare on the global level. The critical success factors that can ensure the successful implementation of UHC include strengthening financing, investing in human resources, using technology, and fostering political commitment. Future studies should focus on developing context-specific models that deal with the special challenges that the various countries experience in their bid to achieve universal health coverage [45].

Evaluating the Feasibility of Implementing Universal Health Coverage (UHC) Globally

The feasibility of UHC implementation worldwide depends on several factors, such as economic sustainability, healthcare infrastructure, and political commitment. Financial constraints are one of the major

concerns in many nations, especially in LMICs. According to research, countries with well-developed economies and efficient taxation systems, such as Canada, Sweden, and Japan, have successfully implemented UHC by allocating a significant amount of public funding to healthcare. However, for LMICs, the scenario often forces them into limited financial resources and overdependence on out-of-pocket payments with a risk of financial hardship and equity in healthcare [46].

The government's sustainable funding of healthcare is also what makes it economically viable. In most UHC countries, financing health care services is usually through tax-based systems or models based on social health insurance, whereby everybody is enrolled and receives care at point-of-service without direct costs [3]. For example, Thailand has a UHC through a combination of general taxation and social health insurance. It therefore shows that the middle-income countries can introduce sustainable models of health care through suitable policy frameworks [4]. Most developing countries have poor mechanisms of collecting taxes and also have corruption; hence, it has led to low available funds for public healthcare services.

Availability of healthcare infrastructure and human resources is another prominent factor in deciding the feasibility of UHC. Countries that possess good healthcare structures and adequate health manpower can adapt very easily to the universal health model. LMICs suffer from a dearth of well-trained medical practitioners, inadequate health infrastructure, and the unavailability of essential drugs. According to the World Health Organization, there is a global shortage of approximately 18 million healthcare workers; with the largest deficit occurring in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. Implementation of UHC in resource-poor settings is very challenging without solving such shortages of workforces.

Political will and governance are also important factors of the feasibility of UHC. For example, countries which have implemented universal health care models successfully tend to be politically well-supported and citizens trust their government's policies. For example, Germany and United Kingdom have been able to sustain UHC models for a long time with political stability that spans decades and current health policy reform is continuous. However, in most countries, the resistance by the private healthcare providers and ideological reluctance to have the government intervene in healthcare has also slowed the transition towards UHC. In many developing countries, political instability and policy reversals also work to avoid long-term health planning and investment.

Considering all these, studies have been reported which showed that UHC might be feasible at the

international level, but it requires certain strategies as every country has a different economic, infrastructural, and political setup. So, through strong financing in healthcare, investment in health care workforce, and political consensus, UHC can successfully be implemented.

Assessing the Impact of UHC on Healthcare Accessibility, Health Equity, and Population Health Outcomes

Universal healthcare implementation has been known to demonstrate vast improvements in accessibility, health equity, and population health outcomes generally. A realization among various countries is that UHC systems result in reduced financial barriers to health provision, thus improving the utilization of different medical services and health outcomes for various population groups.

The major advantage of UHC is enhanced access to health care. People in universal healthcare countries tend to visit hospitals without worrying about being financially hurt. According to studies, more people in countries like Sweden, France, and the United Kingdom tend to seek healthcare providers early to prevent complications, hence effectively controlling diseases and less hospital admission. In contrast, in countries which do not operate UHCs, most of the patients delay medical attention in the case when it is very expensive and this will result to more complicated medical conditions requiring even costlier emergencies [47].

Another crucial influence of UHC is health equity. Eradicating financial barriers and healthcare services for everyone regardless of their income has reduced disparities between the rich and the poor through universal healthcare programs. Studies found that in a country with a UHC policy, the inequality gap between wealthy and poor is much smaller as compared to that of a nation with a private healthcare system. For instance, UHC in Japan has been said to have "eradicated health inequalities," which is critical among the elderly and poor [48]. In other countries that do not implement UHC, some of the deprived communities, including the poor, rural communities, and ethnic minority groups, get poorer health results because they are limited in their access to healthcare.

On population health outcomes, UHC has been linked to lower mortality, higher life expectancy, and better chronic disease management. The studies show that countries with universal health coverage have a lower infant and maternal mortality rate, higher vaccination coverage, and better control of non-communicable diseases like diabetes and cardiovascular conditions. A comparative study of healthcare systems between the U.S. and Canada revealed the fact that the UHC model of the latter results in superior long-term health outcomes, though its per capita healthcare

expenditure is less than that of the former, which mainly depends on private insurance for accessing health care.

Moreover, UHC systems promote economic benefits by reducing the total burden of disease on society. For example, if people have available health services, then they are likely to remain healthy and productive; absenteeism in the workplace will be reduced, and government spending on medical emergencies will decrease [19]. In addition, preventive care and early diagnostics reduce the costs of treatment in the long term and are a soundly designed system in the long term [49].

All these notwithstanding, several challenges still exist in full realization of UHC effects. Universal healthcare countries have to continually invest in healthcare infrastructure while continuing to improve service delivery efficiency while improving capacity constraints at growing levels of demand for medical services. Overburdened public health care systems, long waiting times, and resource shortages can undermine the effectiveness of UHC, and so policy adjustments and healthcare system improvements are constantly needed [50].

CONCLUSION

Universal health coverage has been considered one of the most essential building blocks of an equitable and sustainable health system. This paper analyzes the feasibility and impact of universal health coverage on a global scale, accounting for economic, infrastructural, and political challenges and weighing the advantages it provides to healthcare access, health equity, and overall population health outcomes. Findings indicate that though achieving UHC at the global level is quite challenging, it is possible and worthwhile if proper strategies, policies, and financial mechanisms are implemented. Models from countries like Canada, Sweden, and Japan are examples of universal healthcare implemented and have shown to be effective in ensuring access to medical services by all populations while reducing financial burden.

This study shows that economic sustainability is the key determinant of the feasibility of UHC. High-income countries have successfully implemented UHC models through taxation-based systems or social health insurance, while low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) face financial constraints that hinder the full implementation of universal healthcare. However, it has been proved that innovative financing mechanisms, including government subsidies, progressive taxation, and international aid, can be used to break the financial barriers. Thailand and Rwanda are examples of countries that have shown that UHC is achievable even with limited resources by strategic healthcare financing and efficient use of funds. Besides financial factors, healthcare infrastructure and human resource capacity are also equally important. As in the case of many

developing countries, shortage of health professionals, inadequate medical infrastructure, and access to basic medical products all restrict the smooth implementation of UHC. Closing these gaps with increased investments in healthcare infrastructure, workforce training, and technology adaptation is crucial in order for universal healthcare to be implemented effectively.

Political will and governance are also determining factors for the success of UHC. Countries which maintain stable governance with a firm political commitment toward health-care reforms have managed to establish and maintain models of UHC over the years. Nations who enjoy unstable politics, rampant corruption, or resistance by private healthcare stakeholders fail to implement, let alone sustain, universal healthcare programmers. To overcome such challenges, it calls for a broad political consensus on the part of the governments involved to be able to engage stakeholders in policymaking while making sure to provide legal frameworks for sustainable long-term care. Guidance and technical support plus financial aid are very crucial for the countries to make when working toward attaining UHC.

The research further unveils the immense role UHC plays in making health care accessible, equitable, and generally ensuring a better population health outcome. Studies in universal health coverage countries unveil that UHC eliminates economic constraints as it relates to the provision of medical care, which essentially means that there is full utilization of care in greater dimensions and thus better outcomes. It is specified that patients under support systems of UHC look for preventive care and early treatment, and this contributes to lower rates of chronic conditions and hospitalization. Moreover, UHC has been reported to reduce health service disparities use among disparate socio-economic categories. This means that low-income and vulnerable population groups have been leveled out for the utilization of medical care; especially since a factor significant enough to reverse the global imbalances that most populations in low-income countries experience due to lack of access to relevant health services.

In addition, UHC has economic benefits. It contributes to a healthier workforce, reduces losses in productivity due to illness, and reduces long-term costs due to untreated medical conditions. The countries that will invest in universal healthcare will benefit from better public health, reduced mortality rates, and increased life expectancy. In addition, full utilization of the reach and efficiency in UHC systems is expected to be created by integration of technologies such as telemedicine, electronic health records, among others. However, optimization of longer waiting times, overburdened facilities, and inefficiencies in delivery of services continues to be noted in order fully to capitalize on the universal healthcare benefits.

Future Implication

The future implications of implementing UHC are important not only for the improvement of global health outcomes but also for fostering socioeconomic stability. Future efforts to expand UHC will have to focus on the persistent challenges of financial sustainability, workforce shortages, and gaps in healthcare infrastructure, particularly in low- and middle-income nations. Other technological innovations such as telemedicine, among others, will also ensure that access to these healthcare services is expanded into these

underserved regions. Enhancing these global partnerships and also ensuring that there are adequate funding mechanisms should be important steps in supporting UHC efforts within resource-constrained settings. Continued investment will help the international community to respond to fair equitable access for the health of people, which then reduces health inequality and leads finally to improving both population health as well as enhancing world-wide productivity.

REFERENCES

- Giedion, U., Alfonso, E. A., & Díaz, Y. (2013). *The impact of universal coverage schemes in the developing world: a review of the existing evidence* (p. 72). Washington, DC: World Bank. <https://hsrii.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/IMPACTofUHCSchemeinDevelopingCountries-AReviewofExistingEvidence.pdf>
- Koohpayezadeh, J., Azami-Aghdash, S., Derakhshani, N., Rezapour, A., Alaei Kalajahi, R., Sajjadi Khasraghi, J., Nikoomanesh, M., Sabetrohani, H., & Soleimanpour, S. (2021). Best practices in achieving universal health coverage: A scoping review. *Medical Journal of The Islamic Republic of Iran*. <https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.35.191>
- World Health Organization. (2017). *Global diffusion of eHealth: making universal health coverage achievable: report of the third global survey on eHealth*. World Health Organization.
- Kutzin, J. (2013). Health financing for universal coverage and health system performance: Concepts and implications for policy. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 91(8), 602-611. <https://doi.org/10.2471/blt.12.113985>
- Martin, D., Miller, A. P., Quesnel-Vallée, A., Caron, N. R., Vissandjée, B., & Marchildon, G. P. (2018). Canada's universal health-care system: Achieving its potential. *The Lancet*, 391(10131), 1718-1735. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(18\)30181-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30181-8)
- Arhin, K., Oteng-Abayie, E. F., & Novignon, J. (2023). Assessing the efficiency of health systems in achieving the universal health coverage goal: Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Economics Review*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13561-023-00433-y>
- Lagomarsino, G., Garabrant, A., Adyas, A., Muga, R., & Otoo, N. (2012). Moving towards universal health coverage: Health insurance reforms in nine developing countries in Africa and Asia. *The Lancet*, 380(9845), 933-943. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(12\)61147-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(12)61147-7)
- Harris, D. C. H., Davies, S. J., Finkelstein, F. O., Jha, V., Donner, J.-A., Abraham, G., Bello, A. K., Caskey, F. J., Garcia, G. G., Harden, P., Hemmelgarn, B., Johnson, D. W., Levin, N. W., Luyckx, V. A., Martin, D. E., McCulloch, M. I., Moosa, M. R., O'Connell, P. J., Okpechi, I. G., & Pecoits Filho, R. (2019). Increasing access to integrated ESKD care as part of universal health coverage. *Kidney International*, 95(4), S1-S33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2018.12.005>
- Maritim, B., Nzinga, J., Tsofa, B., Musiega, A., Mugo, P. M., Wong, E., Mazzilli, C., Ng'an'ga, W., Hagedorn, B., Turner, G., Musuva, A., Murira, F., Ravishankar, N., & Barasa, E. (2024). Evaluating the effectiveness of the national health insurance subsidy programme within Kenya's universal health coverage initiative: A study protocol. *BMJ Open*, 14(11), e083971. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2024-083971>
- Alwan, A., Jallah, W., Baltussen, R., Carballo, M., Gonyon, E., Gudumac, I., Haghparsast-Bidgoli, H., Jacobs, G., Abou Jaoude, G. J., Kateh, F. N., Logan, G., & Skordis, J. (2024). Designing an evidence-informed package of essential health services for universal health coverage: Lessons learnt and challenges to implementation in Liberia. *BMJ Global Health*, 9(6), e014904. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2023-014904>
- Ahmed, S. (2020). *Healthcare financing challenges and opportunities to achieving universal health coverage in the low-and middle-income country context*. Karolinska Institutet (Sweden).
- Wiseman, V., Thabrany, H., Asante, A.,

- Haemmerli, M., Kosen, S., Gilson, L., Mills, A., Hayen, A., Tangcharoensathien, V., & Patcharanarumol, W. (2018). An evaluation of health systems equity in Indonesia: Study protocol. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-018-0822-0>
13. Saengtabtim, K., Tang, J., Leelawat, N., Egawa, S., Suppasri, A., & Imamura, F. (2023). Universal health coverage mitigated COVID-19 health-related consequences in Asia Oceania. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 92, 103725. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103725>
14. Baggaley, R. F., Zenner, D., Bird, P., Hargreaves, S., Griffiths, C., Noori, T., Friedland, J. S., Nellums, L. B., & Pareek, M. (2022). Prevention and treatment of infectious diseases in migrants in Europe in the era of universal health coverage. *The Lancet Public Health*, 7(10), e876-e884. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667\(22\)00174-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667(22)00174-8)
15. Danforth, K., Ahmad, A. M., Blanchet, K., Khalid, M., Means, A. R., Memirie, S. T., Alwan, A., & Watkins, D. (2023). Monitoring and evaluating the implementation of essential packages of health services. *BMJ Global Health*, 8(Suppl 1), e010726. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-010726>
16. Dabanlo, G. S., Riahi, L., Nikravan, A., & Mirzaei, A. (2024). Designing a model for universal health insurance coverage in Iran using the balanced scorecard approach. https://iahsj.kaums.ac.ir/article_188591_2d508309a845353864f326e87ee79318.pdf
17. Kondo, K., Rosenberg, M., & World Health Organization. (2018). Advancing universal health coverage through knowledge translation for healthy ageing: lessons learnt from the Japan gerontological evaluation study. <https://www.who.int/publications/book-orders?sequence=1>
18. Meng, Q., Fang, H., Liu, X., Yuan, B., & Xu, J. (2015). Consolidating the social health insurance schemes in China: Towards an equitable and efficient health system. *The Lancet*, 386(10002), 1484-1492. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(15\)00342-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(15)00342-6)
19. Obi, U. S., Ogbuaji, O., Mao, W., Shahid, M., Onwujekwe, O., & Yamey, G. (2021). Progress in the face of cuts: A qualitative Nigerian case study of maintaining progress towards universal health coverage after losing donor assistance. *Health Policy and Planning*, 36(7), 1045-1057. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czab051>
20. Tilahun, B., Teklu, A., Mancuso, A., Endehabtu, B. F., Gashu, K. D., & Mekonnen, Z. A. (2021). Using health data for decision-making at each level of the health system to achieve universal health coverage in Ethiopia: The case of an immunization programme in a low-resource setting. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 19(S2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-021-00694-1>
21. Oketch, A. O., Nalugala, R., Akoth, S. O., Mwalwa, S., & Mbinda, H. K. (2024). Integrating Maasai cultural beliefs and practices into universal health care in Kajiado County, Kenya. *International Academic Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing*, 2(1), 463-485. https://iajournals.org/articles/iajhmn_v2_i1_463_485.pdf
22. Jimba, M., Cometto, G., Yamamoto, T., Shiao, L., Huicho, L., & Sheikh, M. (2010, November). Health workforce: the critical pathway to universal health coverage. In *World Health Organization, editor. First Global Symposium on Health Systems Research*. Montreux, Switzerland: WHO. <https://hsr2024.healthsystemsresearch.org/hsr2010/>
23. Knaul, F. M., Farmer, P. E., Krakauer, E. L., De Lima, L., Bhadelia, A., Kwete, X. J., ... & Zimmerman, C. (2018). Alleviating the access abyss in palliative care and pain relief—an imperative of universal health coverage: the Lancet Commission report. *The Lancet*, 391(10128), 1391-1454.
24. Moss, W. J., Shendale, S., Lindstrand, A., O'Brien, K. L., Turner, N., Goodman, T., & Kretsinger, K. (2021). Feasibility assessment of measles and rubella eradication. *Vaccine*, 39(27), 3544-3559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.04.027>
25. Wahl, B., Lehtimäki, S., Germann, S., & Schwalbe, N. (2019). Expanding the use of community health workers in urban settings: A potential strategy for progress towards universal health coverage. *Health Policy and Planning*, 35(1), 91-101. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czz133>
26. Van Andel, J., Gómez-Pérez, G. P., Otieno, P., Siteyi, A., Teerling, J., De Wit, T. R., & Asiki, G. (2025). Rethinking chronic care: How blended patient-centered care delivery and innovative financing models can contribute to

- achieving universal health coverage – a case study of an integrated approach in Kenya. *Oxford Open Digital Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oodh/oqaf002>
27. Mataria, A., Majdzadeh, R., Al Asfoor, D., Salah, H., & Mirza, Z. (2023). Translating political commitments into actions to enhance universal health coverage in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 29(12), 980-986. <https://doi.org/10.26719/emhj.23.112>
 28. Rimberia, J. K. (2022). *The Moderating Effect of Finance in Determination and Provision of Universal Health Care in Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, KeMU).
 29. Gilmour, S., Le, P. M., Nguyen, H. L., Dao, T. M. A., Tran, B. Q., Van Hoang, M., & Van Nguyen, H. (2022). Trends in, projections of, and inequalities in non-communicable disease management indicators in Vietnam 2010–2030 and progress toward universal health coverage: a Bayesian analysis at national and sub-national levels. *EClinicalMedicine*, 51. [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/eclinm/article/PIIS2589-5370\(22\)00280-2/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/eclinm/article/PIIS2589-5370(22)00280-2/fulltext)
 30. Nzomo, B. M. (2021). *Assessing patients' satisfaction with universal health coverage reforms using servqual model: a case of Matuu Sub County Hospital, Machakos County* (Doctoral dissertation, Strathmore University).
 31. Puntub, W., & Greiving, S. (2022). Advanced Operationalization framework for climate-resilient urban public health care services: Composite indicators-based scenario assessment of Khon Kaen city, Thailand. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(3), 1283. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031283>
 32. Hammond, T. R. (2012). *Feasible Models of Universal Health Insurance in Oregon According to Stakeholder Views*. Portland State University.
 33. Wirtz, V. J., Hogerzeil, H. V., Gray, A. L., Bigdeli, M., de Joncheere, C. P., Ewen, M. A., ... & Reich, M. R. (2017). Essential medicines for universal health coverage. *The Lancet*, 389(10067), 403-476. [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(17\)31209-6/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(17)31209-6/fulltext)
 34. Alkhaldi, M., Akilan, B., Hoteit, M., Elhadidy, M., & Morrison, S. (2024). Suboptimal attainment of global goals of human rights, universal health coverage and sustainable development goals in Gaza during 2023–2024. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, 17, 3207-3216. <https://doi.org/10.2147/rmhp.s488944>
 35. Bashar, J. M., Hadiza, S., Ugochi, O. J., Muhammad, L. S., Olufemi, A., Eberechi, U., Agada-Amade, Y., Yusuf, A., Abdullahi, A. H., Musa, H. S., Ibrahim, A. A., Nnennaya, K., Anyanti, J., Yusuf, D., Okoineme, K., Adebambo, J., Ikani, S. O., Aizobu, D., Abubakar, M., ... Wada, Y. (2025). Charting the path to the implementation of universal health coverage policy in Nigeria through the lens of Delphi methodology. *BMC Health Services Research*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-12201-7>
 36. Porignon, D. (2020). In practice: bridging global commitments with country action to achieve universal health coverage. 2019 Annual report of the Universal Health Coverage Partnership. <https://hdl.handle.net/2268/240451>
 37. Alwan, A., Majdzadeh, R., Yamey, G., Blanchet, K., Hailu, A., Jama, M., Johansson, K. A., Musa, M. Y., Mwalim, O., Norheim, O. F., Safi, N., Siddiqi, S., & Zaidi, R. (2023). Country readiness and prerequisites for successful design and transition to implementation of essential packages of health services: Experience from six countries. *BMJ Global Health*, 8(Suppl 1), e010720. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-010720>
 38. Koohpayezadeh, J., Azami-Aghdash, S., Derakhshani, N., Rezapour, A., Alaei Kalajahi, R., Sajjadi Khasraghi, J., Nikoomanesh, M., Sabetrohani, H., & Soleimanpour, S. (2021). Best practices in achieving universal health coverage: A scoping review. *Medical Journal of The Islamic Republic of Iran*. <https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.35.191>
 39. Hardesty, C. L. (2024). *Using Modified Universal Health Coverage Principles to Assess Innovations in Lower Middle-Income Countries: Parallel Case Studies in Nigeria and Indonesia* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill).
 40. Moon, S., & Shin, J. (2007). Performance of universal health insurance: Lessons from South Korea. *World Health & Population*, 9(2), 95-113. <https://doi.org/10.12927/whp.2007.18882>
 41. Black, R. E., Taylor, C. E., Arole, S., Bang, A., Bhutta, Z. A., Chowdhury, A. M., Kirkwood, B. R., Kureshy, N., Lanata, C. F., Phillips, J. F., Taylor, M., Victora, C. G., Zhu, Z., & Perry, H. B. (2017). Comprehensive

- review of the evidence regarding the effectiveness of community-based primary health care in improving maternal, neonatal and child health: 8. summary and recommendations of the expert panel. *Journal of Global Health*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.07.01.0908>
42. Mataria, A., Majdzadeh, R., Al Asfoor, D., Salah, H., & Mirza, Z. (2023). Translating political commitments into actions to enhance universal health coverage in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 29(12), 980-986. <https://doi.org/10.26719/emhj.23.112>
 43. Mukherjee, K., & Majumdar, S. (2024). Framework for assessing the value of healthcare innovations in India for universal health coverage. *India Studies in Business and Economics*, 249-269. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-3252-4_11
 44. Mamun, K. A., Hamid, S. A., Hassan, M., Chowdhury, M., Jahangir, M., Soliman, C., Islam, M. Z., Vandenent, M., & Sarkar, F. (2025). Digital general practitioner model to enhance urban primary health care: A step towards universal health coverage in Bangladesh. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5103751>
 45. Odeh, Y., Tbaishat, D., Kharbat, F., Shamieh, O., & Odeh, M. (2023). Linking palliative Homecare to the universal health coverage principles and the United Nations sustainability development goals using the I* frameworks strategic and social requirements modelling applied to a cancer care organisation. *The International Arab Journal of Information Technology*, 20(3A). <https://doi.org/10.34028/iajit/20/3a/12>
 46. Storr, J., Twyman, A., Zingg, W., Damani, N., Kilpatrick, C., Reilly, J., Price, L., Egger, M., Grayson, M. L., Kelley, E., & Allegranzi, B. (2017). Core components for effective infection prevention and control programmes: New WHO evidence-based recommendations. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-016-0149-9>
 47. Haider, Z., Zummer, A., Waheed, A., & Abuzar, M. (2024). Study how AI can be used to enhance cognitive functions, such as memory or problem-solving, and the psychological effects of these enhancements. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, 13(3), 256-263. <https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00485>
 48. Ong, S. K., & Ching Lai, D. T. (2024). Feasibility of monitoring global breast cancer initiative framework key performance indicators in 21 Asian countries. *JCO Global Oncology*, 10(Supplement_1), 82-82. <https://doi.org/10.1200/go-24-69000>
 49. Kickbusch, I., Sturchio, J. L., Galambos, L., Mounier, T., Told, M., Cassar Szabo, M. M., & Canham, L. (2015). Universal health coverage: an annotated bibliography 2.0.
 50. Nyawira, L., Machira, Y., Munge, K., Chuma, J., & Barasa, E. (2024). Examining the implementation experience of the universal health coverage pilot in Kenya. *Health Systems & Reform*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23288604.2024.2418808>